Abstract: In the process of shaping the personality and forming the ideal and belief of innovative talents, education plays a unique role that cannot be replaced by other forms of education. The application of an intelligent Internet of Things has been well developed in college teaching. AI is the reality of technological development in the training of higher talents in the new era, and it is the realistic demand for IPE in the training of higher talents, which requires political and ideological empowerment. Positioning the role of "educational assistant" in AI and then defining it as a "quasi-subjective object", is the basic positioning of the introduction of higher-talent IPE at this stage. 1) Build a model of teacher-student interaction supported by "human-computer cooperation"; 2) Construct intelligent learning and intelligent teaching modes supported by "algorithm databases"; 3) Establish the mechanism principle of "people-oriented" assessment and goal orientation, and further improve the quality of IPE in China's higher education personnel training. This paper aims to explore the use of artificial intelligence technology to build an ideal ideological and political education paradigm in the process of training higher-level talents in Chinese universities.
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I. INTRODUCTION:

Higher talents themselves have the characteristics of relatively low cognitive ability, poor discipline, and an emphasis on skill training rather than theoretical study. Therefore, in order to improve the quality of higher talents in our country [1]. In the new era, in the process of cultivating higher talents in colleges and universities in our country, strengthening IPE is related to the fundamental task of cultivating people with morality. Grinding effect. With the rapid development of science and technology today, artificial intelligence and deep learning have become an irreplaceable and important part of social life at this stage, especially in promoting the development of modern teaching, improving educational methods, integrating educational resources, and innovating educational concepts [2], [3,4]. At present, IPE plays an important leading role in the education of colleges and universities in my country. Educating people, educating people in the whole process, and educating people in all directions are the realistic requirements for the cultivation of higher talents in colleges and universities [5]. The application of artificial intelligence and deep learning has had a multiplier effect on the ability of higher-level talents to grasp the new requirements and achieve the goal of educating and cultivating people. In the future, it is unquestionably necessary to promote the integration and co-creation of IPE and artificial intelligence [6]. It is even more crucial to pay attention to the development requirements and opportunities of the new era brought by artificial intelligence and deep learning technology. The fundamental task is to find artificial intelligence, deep learning, and IPE. The breakthrough and main attack direction of deep integration [7] In the new era, under the new requirements of my country's higher personnel training, clarify the positioning of artificial intelligence, explore the combination mechanism of artificial intelligence deep learning as a technological product and IPE in higher personnel training, and apply the new achievements of artificial intelligence deep learning in the thinking of my country's higher personnel training. It has important practical significance to be applied in the process of political education [8].

Since the 21st century, in the face of the ever-increasing evolution of technological innovation and international technological competition, how to effectively identify the research frontiers in the field of science and predict the future development of science and technology has become crucial. Research frontier hotspot detection based on scientific and technological literature has always been the key content of scientific and technological strategic intelligence [9]. At the macro level, it can provide decision support for national discipline structure planning, think tank construction, and fund planning. From the perspective of individual microscopic research, grasping and trend tracking of research hotspots is of great significance for scientific researchers to improve scientific research.
efficiency and scientific research output. Therefore, it has been the focus of attention to effectively capture the information of current active scientific research activities and track the trend of research hotspots [10].

At present, many scholars have effectively studied the frontiers and hotspots of scientific research in this deep learning field and achieved fruitful results. However, at the same time, the detection of research hotspots is mostly based on the citation analysis method, which suffers from the problem of time lag [11]. Also, there are many problems, such as insufficient semantic analysis by word frequency statistics, adequate evolutionary analysis but insufficient predictive analysis, and a coarse granularity of time slices that cannot effectively reveal the development and evolution of hot topics. This problem makes the study of hotspot detection and trend analysis scientifically inadequate [12].

II. IDEOLOGICAL AND POLITICAL BACKGROUND:

Artificial Intelligence Deep learning is the representation of human social practise and its results. In the era of small data, human beings can only carry out a more comprehensive digitization of the natural world. Therefore, data is the result of quantifying and recording the objective world, and it is a rational tool that people use to understand natural phenomena and reveal and grasp natural laws [16]. "These so-called information and data are still nothing more than the manifestation of the natural properties of material reality; that is, the data we usually understand is only the phenomenon or manifestation of physical entities.” Artificial intelligence (AI) deep learning is mainly the explosive growth of a large amount of social information. With the help of popular online and high-density interconnection such as smartphones, social networking, GPS positioning, and web browsing, AI deep learning records are ubiquitous and highly realistic [17]. In this way, human behaviours can be truly and completely presented in the form of data. That is to say, Artificial Intelligence Deep Learning continuously captures data from all samples and converts the scattered and isolated "information" of a phenomenon from point to line, from line to surface, from surface to level, and then connects them into a piece, objectively. That is, to present the facts more accurately and completely themselves and the trajectory of human social life. In the context of the era of artificial intelligence and deep learning, "our food, clothing, housing, transportation, joy, sorrow, joy, food, drink, and entertainment all exist in the form of data. Through data, networks, and software, we use data to record the world and then use data to discover the world [18].” For example, when a consumer completes a shopping behaviour through the Internet, this series of behaviours through mouse and keyboard clicks and the data traces left in the cyberspace can be regarded as a mirror image of a complete shopping process of people. Moreover, not only "the original ecological appearance of the living world is collected and stored by means of data, but even non-digital phenomena such as emotions, emotions, and attitudes can be 'digitised' to a certain extent through narratives that focus on description and explanation.” In short, "Artificial Intelligence Deep Learning can comprehensively represent phenomena, that is to say, as long as the granularity of the collected data is fine enough and data can be collected from multiple dimensions, then these data are sufficient to express phenomena [19].

III. METHOD FRAMEWORK:

In order to effectively predict and analyse the trend of research hotspots, this paper proposes a research hotspot prediction model based on a machine learning algorithm. First, the field of IPE is taken as an example to obtain the WOS core collection abstract data, and then the LDA topic model is used to achieve information extraction from scientific and technological literature[20-23]. Topic intensity is used to characterise hotness, and then the cosine similarity theorem is used to establish topic association construction. Finally, machine learning algorithms are used to predict and analyse their future development trends, and the prediction abilities of different machine learning algorithms are evaluated and verified. The experimental framework is shown in Figure 1.
A. Research hot topic detection:

The LDA model is proposed to be used as a research hot-topic detection tool. The DA topic model can express the three-layer semantic structure of topic, topic word, and document[24-26]. It uses unsupervised machine learning to extract hidden topic information and express the weight of the topic word. Research hotspots represent the enthusiasm and intensity of research on a certain subject within a certain period and can be expressed by the weight of keywords or subject words[27]. The larger the weight, the more popular the research topic. Using machine learning algorithms to predict research popularity first requires obtaining the topic intensity value of each sub-period. This paper proposes the following topic strength indicators for hot research fronts:

\[
TII_i^z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} weight(k_i) \tag{1}
\]

Among them, \(weight(k_i)\) represents the weight ratio of the subject words; \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} weight(k_i)\) reflects the cumulative weight value of the subject words, and \(TII_i^z\) is the weight value of the subject. The effective detection and prediction of this indicator can accurately grasp and locate the development context of popular research fronts.

B. Topic Association Construction:

After fine-grained identification of sub-period research topics, it is necessary to explore the internal and external correlations of topic clusters in different time segments, so as to obtain the precursor and successor relationships of different topics in different periods and form a dynamic topic chain. In this paper, the similarity of time series topics is calculated based on the method of cosine similarity, and the development context of topics based on time series development is constructed, which provides experimental preparation and a foundation for subsequent prediction analysis based on machine learning algorithms. A fixed threshold is set to determine the similarity. If the similarity is greater than the threshold, it means that the hot topics in the two time dimensions are the evolution and changes of the same topic. The formula is as follows:
Among them, the numerator represents the dot product of the two subject vectors, and the denominator represents the product of the modulus of the two subject vectors.

\[ \text{Sim}(\text{Topic}_i, \text{Topic}_j) = \cos \theta = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k(\text{Topic}_i) \times w_k(\text{Topic}_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k^2(\text{Topic}_i)} \times \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k^2(\text{Topic}_j)}} \]  

(2)

IV. BP NEURAL NETWORK STRUCTURE PREDICTION MODEL ANALYSIS:

The corresponding weight value is used for propagation parameter adjustment. neural node i:

\[ H^u_i = \sum_{j=1}^{J} W^u_{ij} V_j = \sum_{j=1}^{J} W^u_{ij} g \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} W^u_{ik} x^u_j \right) \]

(3)

The model input obtained through the hidden layer node is:

\[ O^u_i = g \left( H^u_i \right) = g \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{J} W^u_{ij} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} W^u_{ik} x^u_j \right) \right] \]

(4)

\[ E^u(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left( x^u_i - y^u_i \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ x^u_i - g \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} W^u_{ij} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} W^u_{ik} x^u_j \right) \right) \right]^2 \]

(5)

when the BP neural network faces the complex optimization objective function, when the neuron output approaches the real value, the training effect is poor and it is easy to fall into the local optimum.

A. SVM Prediction Model:

Compared with traditional neural network algorithms such as BP neural network, the SVM model adopts the optimal structure risk and its generalization ability has always been one of the advantages of this model. For a given sample \((x_i, y_i)\) \((i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots , N)\), \(N\) is the sample size, \(x_i\) is the input vector, and \(y_i\) is the output target. The SVM model uses a high-dimensional mapping feature space \(R^n\) to \(R^m\), and then uses a linear function to approximate the function in the feature space:

\[ y = f(X) = [W, \varphi(X)] + b \]

(6)

In the formula, \(W, f(X)\) is the m-dimensional vector data, b is the function threshold, and y is the function value after dot product processing. According to the statistical theory SVM minimizes the objective function to obtain the fitting regression function formula:

\[ \min_{W, b} : \frac{1}{2} W^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| y_i - \left[ W, \varphi(x_i) - b \right] \right| \]

(7)

In the formula, \(c\) represents the penalty coefficient of the control model loss \(1/2 W^2\) and the complexity of the training model, and \(i = 1, 2, \ldots , n\) represents the number of SVM points. The kernel function can be used to realize the high-dimensional mapping of the feature space of the data, and then output the model prediction time series results without affecting the computational complexity.
\[ g(x) = w^T \Phi(x) + b = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \Phi(x) \Phi(x) + b = \sum \alpha_i k(x, x) + b \quad (8) \]

SVM has strong small sample learning ability and nonlinear fitting ability. It is not easy to fall into local optimum and parameter setting is relatively simple, so it has been widely used in the field of machine learning time series prediction, but it is at the forefront of information science research. There are few applications in detection and predictive analysis, so this paper selects the SVM model as a class of machine learning algorithms to discuss and analyze.

V. EMPIRICAL RESEARCH:

A. Experimental Platform:

Hardware: Window10 operating system, Genuine Intel(R) CPU@1.70GHz, 8GRAM
Software: Anaconda, Keras deep learning framework based on TensorFlow (GPU version) backend, RapidMiner

B. Data set and preprocessing:

Database: WOS core paper collection data of papers in the field of IPE
Time span: 1965 to 2017
Search: Keyword="Genetic Engineering"
Search results: 2764 items.

C. Topic Recognition Experiment:

In this paper, the LDA model is used for topic identification. LDA (La-tent Dirichlet Allocation) is a Bayesian probability distribution model with a three-layer structure including a document set layer, topic layer and feature word layer. It simulates large-scale document generation through probability statistics and parameter fitting. By extracting the subject words with practical significance in the scientific and technological literature, we can deeply mine the hidden subject information topology structure contained in the text data.

The number of topics in the document set is a hyperparameter, and the number of topics in multi-source information data needs to be determined before topic identification. Perplexity is a commonly used indicator to measure the pros and cons of a language model. David et al. proposed the complexity indicator and defined the complexity of a topic model for a document set with M documents as:

\[ \text{perplexity}(D_{test}) = \exp \left\{ \frac{\sum_{d=1}^{M} \log P(w_d)}{\sum_{d=1}^{M} \log N_d} \right\} \quad (9) \]

Among them, M is the number of documents in the document set, \( P(W_d) \) is the probability that the PLDA model generates the d-th document, and \( N_d \) is the number of words. When \( \text{perplexity}(D_{test}) \) is the smallest, the topic has a better semantic expression effect, and the topic-document mapping is established to determine the document. The number of topics in the set. In this paper, experiments are carried out on the dynamic correspondence between the number of topics and the complexity, and the final number of topics is selected as 100 after the experimental topic step size, as shown in Figure 2.
The cosine similarity is used to quantitatively establish the topic correlation of different sub-periods and then establish a whole dynamic time series topic chain in the time series dimension. The time is from 2003 to 2017. When the similarity threshold is set to 0.5, the subject evolution and correlation are better. The transition and evolution characteristics of different subject types in the time window can be more completely expressed. In the similarity calculation in Table 1, topic types with a similarity threshold greater than 0.5 can be selected to establish associations; that is, Topic_1 in 2014 developed into Topic_2 in 2015 and then became Topic_7 (2016), and in the same way, the topic development changes of 10 topics can be obtained.

The establishment of the topic dynamic time series chain provides a theoretical basis for the follow-up machine learning algorithm prediction research, and the specific topic intensity value can be obtained by using the LDA model experiment to obtain the weight of the topic word to represent the research popularity, and then detect the development and change rules of the research popularity of different topics in the time series, to study and judge the future development trend in the field of IPE.

Table 1 Deep learning based on artificial intelligence topic similarity calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topic_1</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>0.6011</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>Topic_7</td>
<td>0.5211</td>
<td>……</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic_1</td>
<td>Topic_9</td>
<td>0.3770</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>0.4343</td>
<td>……</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic_1</td>
<td>Topic_4</td>
<td>0.3011</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>Topic_9</td>
<td>0.3411</td>
<td>……</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic_1</td>
<td>Topic_0</td>
<td>0.1515</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>Topic_0</td>
<td>0.1515</td>
<td>……</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic_1</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>Topic_2</td>
<td>Topic_1</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>……</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>……</td>
<td>……</td>
<td>……</td>
<td>……</td>
<td>……</td>
<td>……</td>
<td>……</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D.  Prediction and Comparison of Research Hotspots in Machine Learning Algorithms:

The relative error indicator (RE, Relative Error) is used to describe the prediction effect of the model. The formula is as follows, where $\hat{y}$ represents the relative error, $y_t$ represents the true value, and $\hat{y}_t$ represents the model predicted value. The test set in this paper is divided into 10 topics and the topic strength value of each topic in the last five years. The relative error RE is obtained for each topic in different sub-periods and the mean value is processed to obtain the average prediction accuracy of the topic. Partly based on the comparison of prediction accuracy of different machine learning algorithms, see Table 2. Taking Topic0 as an example, the 2013-2017 topic heat value was predicted and analyzed by using BP neural network, SVM and LSTM model, and the average relative error of the topic was finally obtained. 15.69%, 12.98% and 10.75%, it can be seen that the prediction accuracy of the LSTM model for this topic is higher, the prediction effect of the SVM is lower than that of the LSTM model but higher than that of the BP neural network prediction model, but for Topic2, the highest prediction accuracy is the support vector The prediction accuracy of the LSTM model and the BP neural network has a small difference of 13.08% and 14.25%, respectively.

$$RE = \left| \frac{\hat{y}_t - y_t}{y_t} \right| \quad (10)$$

Table 2 Comparison of relative errors based on different machine learning algorithms (partial)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Actual value</th>
<th>BP neural network</th>
<th>SVMs</th>
<th>LSTM model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predictive value</td>
<td>RE (%)</td>
<td>Predictive value</td>
<td>RE (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic0</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>737.75</td>
<td>15.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>203.89</td>
<td>15.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>364.01</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>757.39</td>
<td>18.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>730.45</td>
<td>17.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic0 Average</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>839.45</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>803.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic1</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>1232.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>546.61</td>
<td>20.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>841.65</td>
<td>15.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1311.80</td>
<td>21.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic1 Average</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1311.80</td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>1288.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic2</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>417.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>266.42</td>
<td>17.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>124.25</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>510.28</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic2 Average</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>417.26</td>
<td>18.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to effectively express the prediction effect of machine learning algorithms on different topics in the field of IPE, it is necessary to calculate the average relative error for 10 topics and obtain the model prediction accuracy, that is, the difference between 100% and relative error. The experimental analysis shows that the prediction accuracy of all topics based on the BP neural network model is 83.64%, and the prediction effect of the machine learning algorithm prediction model is the worst. The prediction accuracy of the SVM prediction model and the LSTM model are 88.28% and 89.10% respectively, the prediction effect is similar, in which the prediction accuracy
based on the STM model is slightly higher, and the prediction stability based on the long short-term memory neural network and the SVM model is relatively good. Topic type, each circle represents the prediction model of BP neural network, SVM and LSTM model from the inside to the outside, which can express the difference of prediction results more clearly. See Figure 3.

![Figure 3 Prediction accuracy analysis based on machine learning algorithm](image)

VI. CONCLUSION

The development direction of IPE in the training of sports talents in colleges and universities, its fundamental requirement is the training of people, it should provide all-time, ubiquitous ideological and political learning opportunities for the training of sports talents, and expand the existing channels of IPE, to overcome the predicament of the existing IPE, and to introduce Artificial Intelligence Deep Learning as an "education assistant" is the proper meaning of the construction of IPE in the training of sports talents. However, we must also realize that cultivating talents is fundamentally value-oriented education, and Artificial Intelligence Deep Learning is the means to realize this value orientation. In the field of IPE and training of sports talents, ideological progress is the basic direction of talent cultivation. Moral progress is the proper purpose of social development. Therefore, Artificial Intelligence Deep Learning as a result of technological progress is to make up for the shortcomings of existing IPE for talent training, obey the overall situation of IPE, and promote the final formation of the IPE training pattern for sports talents in colleges and universities.
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