

¹Juhai Wang

Analyzing Players' Tactical Positions and Movement Trajectories in Soccer Matches Using Machine Vision Algorithms



Abstract: - Tactical positions and movement trajectories in soccer matches using machine vision algorithms involves leveraging computer vision techniques to track players and the ball throughout the game. By processing video feeds from multiple cameras, machine vision algorithms can identify players, recognize their positions on the field, and map out their movement trajectories over time. This analysis provides valuable insights into team formations, player positioning, and tactical strategies employed during matches. Coaches and analysts can use this information to assess team performance, identify patterns in gameplay, and make data-driven decisions to optimize tactics and training regimes. Machine vision algorithms enhance the understanding of soccer dynamics, facilitating more strategic and effective approaches to coaching and gameplay.. This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of player movement, tactical positions, and detection in soccer matches using machine learning algorithms. Leveraging a simulation environment built upon a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) model trained on historical match data, we investigate the efficacy of the model in accurately predicting future player positions based on contextual features such as player trajectories and ball movement. Furthermore, we explore the model's ability to estimate tactical movements during specific time intervals, providing valuable insights for coaches and analysts in understanding team strategies and adapting to match dynamics. Additionally, we evaluate player detection systems to assess their capabilities and limitations, laying the groundwork for future improvements in player tracking technologies. Our findings underscore the potential of machine learning in soccer analytics, offering actionable insights for enhancing performance analysis, strategic decision-making, and overall understanding of the game. Our results show a high prediction accuracy, with an average Mean Squared Error (MSE) of 0.05. Furthermore, we explore the model's ability to estimate tactical movements during specific time intervals, achieving an overall prediction accuracy of 85%. Additionally, we evaluate player detection systems to assess their capabilities and limitations, achieving a detection accuracy of 90%.

Keywords: Tactical Position, Soccer Match, Machine Vision, Movement Trajectories, Prediction, Classification

1. Introduction

In soccer matches, tactical positions and movement trajectories are crucial components that define a team's strategy and gameplay[1]. Each player's position on the field is strategically determined based on their strengths, skills, and the overall game plan[2]. Movement trajectories refer to the paths players take as they maneuver across the field to create scoring opportunities, defend against the opponent, or maintain possession[3]. These trajectories are dynamic, constantly shifting as players adapt to the flow of the game and respond to the actions of their teammates and opponents[4]. Effective coordination of tactical positions and movement trajectories is essential for successful teamwork, fluid passing sequences, and ultimately, achieving strategic objectives such as scoring goals or preventing the opposition from doing so.

Machine vision algorithms are at the forefront of revolutionizing various industries by providing advanced capabilities for automated image and video analysis[5]. These algorithms encompass a diverse range of techniques, including image recognition, object detection, segmentation, and tracking[6]. Image recognition algorithms enable computers to identify and classify objects within images or video frames, while object detection algorithms go a step further by not only recognizing objects but also locating their positions within the image. Segmentation algorithms partition images into meaningful regions, allowing for more precise analysis and understanding of complex scenes[7]. Tracking algorithms enable the monitoring and analysis of object movement over time, facilitating applications such as surveillance, autonomous vehicles, and sports analytics[8]. By leveraging machine learning and deep learning techniques, these algorithms continually improve in accuracy and performance, driving advancements in fields such as manufacturing, healthcare, agriculture, and beyond. tactical positions and movement trajectories in soccer matches using machine vision algorithms represents a cutting-edge approach to understanding and optimizing team strategies[9]. These algorithms leverage computer vision techniques to track players' movements, identify their positions on the field, and analyze their interactions in real-time. By processing video feeds from multiple camera angles,

¹ School of Physical Education, Weinan Normal University, Weinan, Shaanxi, China, 714099

*Corresponding author e-mail: sunnyjht@163.com

Copyright © JES 2024 on-line : journal.esrgroups.org

machine vision algorithms can provide coaches and analysts with valuable insights into team formations, player positioning, and movement patterns[10]. This data enables detailed performance analysis, including assessing players' spatial awareness, decision-making, and adherence to tactical instructions. Moreover, machine vision algorithms can facilitate the identification of key moments in a match, such as goal-scoring opportunities or defensive lapses, allowing teams to refine their tactics and improve their overall performance[11]. Ultimately, by harnessing the power of machine vision, soccer teams can gain a competitive edge through data-driven insights into their players' on-field behavior and strategic dynamics.

This paper makes several significant contributions to the field of soccer analytics and machine learning. Firstly, it introduces a novel approach to analyzing player movement and tactical positions using machine learning algorithms, specifically a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) model trained on historical match data. By leveraging contextual features such as player trajectories and ball movement, the model demonstrates a high level of accuracy in predicting future player positions, offering valuable insights into player behavior and game dynamics. Secondly, the paper extends the analysis to estimate tactical movements during specific time intervals, providing coaches and analysts with actionable insights into team strategies and match dynamics. This capability enhances strategic decision-making and performance optimization, enabling teams to adapt and respond effectively to evolving game situations. Thirdly, the evaluation of player detection systems contributes to advancing the state-of-the-art in player tracking technologies. By assessing the capabilities and limitations of existing detection algorithms, the paper lays the groundwork for future improvements in player tracking systems, potentially leading to more accurate and reliable player detection in soccer matches.

2. Related Works

Tactical positions and movement trajectories in soccer matches using machine vision algorithms represents a burgeoning field with profound implications for the sport's strategic evolution. In recent years, advancements in computer vision technology have paved the way for comprehensive analysis of player behavior on the pitch, offering unprecedented insights into the intricate dynamics of team performance. By harnessing the power of machine learning and image processing techniques, researchers and practitioners are now able to extract valuable data from video footage of matches, shedding light on players' spatial awareness, decision-making processes, and adherence to tactical instructions. In this paper, we survey the related works that have contributed to the development of machine vision algorithms for analyzing players' tactical positions and movement trajectories, highlighting key methodologies, findings, and challenges in this rapidly evolving domain. Several recent studies have delved into the realm of analyzing players' tactical positions and movement trajectories in soccer matches using machine vision algorithms. Gregory et al. (2022) explored the influence of tactical and match context on player movement, shedding light on how various factors impact on-field behavior. Anzer et al. (2022) developed semi-supervised graph neural networks to detect tactical patterns, offering a sophisticated approach to identifying strategic formations. Honda et al. (2022) focused on pass receiver prediction, utilizing video and players' trajectories to anticipate passing sequences. Theiner et al. (2022) tackled the extraction of positional player data from broadcast soccer videos, contributing to the development of automated data collection methods. Forcher et al. (2022) conducted a scoping review on the use of player tracking data to analyze defensive play, synthesizing existing research in the field. Teranishi et al. (2022) evaluated the creation of scoring opportunities for teammates through trajectory prediction, highlighting the potential of machine learning in tactical analysis. Beheshtian-Ardakani et al. (2023) proposed CMPN, a model for analyzing soccer teams using Complex Multiplex Passing Network, offering a comprehensive approach to understanding team dynamics. Zuo (2022) explored the visualization of football tactics using deep learning models, providing insights into the visualization of complex tactical patterns. Rahimian and Toka (2022) conducted a survey on optical tracking in team sports, offering a comprehensive overview of player and ball tracking methods in soccer and other team sports. Jin (2024) presented an original research article on video analysis and data-driven tactical optimization of football matches, emphasizing the importance of visual recognition and strategy analysis algorithms. Plakias et al. (2023) identified playing styles of European soccer teams during key moments of the game, shedding light on how teams adapt their strategies under pressure.

3. Movement Trajectories

Movement trajectories in soccer matches can be analyzed mathematically to derive insights into player behavior and team strategies. One common approach is to model player movement as a series of discrete positions over time, resulting in trajectories that describe the path followed by each player on the field. These trajectories can be derived using principles from kinematics, which involve equations describing the motion of objects. One fundamental equation used in deriving movement trajectories is the equation of motion, which relates an object's position, velocity, acceleration, and time. In the context of soccer, this equation can be simplified as in equation (1)

$$r(t) = r_0 + v_0t + \frac{1}{2}at^2 \quad (1)$$

$r(t)$ represents the position of the player at time t , r_0 represents the initial position of the player, v_0 represents the initial velocity of the player, a represents the acceleration experienced by the player. By solving this equation, we can determine the trajectory followed by a player given their initial position, velocity, and acceleration. However, in soccer, the acceleration experienced by players may vary due to factors such as changes in speed, direction, and interactions with other players and the ball. To account for these complexities, machine vision algorithms often analyze video footage to track players' positions at discrete time intervals. By capturing a sequence of positions over time, these algorithms can reconstruct movement trajectories and extract relevant metrics such as speed, acceleration, and direction changes. These trajectories provide valuable insights into players' tactical decisions, movement patterns, and interactions with teammates and opponents, ultimately enhancing our understanding of the game and informing strategic decision-making. Movement trajectories in soccer matches are essential for understanding players' behavior, team dynamics, and strategic decisions on the field. These trajectories can be derived through mathematical modeling and analysis, often involving principles from kinematics, which is the branch of physics that describes the motion of objects. At the heart of analyzing movement trajectories is the equation of motion, a fundamental equation in physics that relates an object's position, velocity, acceleration, and time. In the context of soccer, this equation can be simplified to accommodate the movement of players on the field. It essentially predicts the future position of a player based on their current position, initial velocity, and acceleration.

To overcome this challenge, machine vision algorithms come into play. These algorithms analyze video footage of soccer matches to track players' positions at discrete time intervals. By capturing a sequence of positions over time, these algorithms reconstruct movement trajectories with high accuracy. They can extract additional metrics such as speed, acceleration, and directional changes, providing a comprehensive understanding of players' movements on the field. Analyzing movement trajectories allows coaches, analysts, and researchers to gain valuable insights into various aspects of the game. They can assess players' positioning, movement patterns, decision-making, and interactions with teammates and opponents. This information can be used to optimize team strategies, identify areas for improvement, and enhance overall performance on the field. Moreover, movement trajectory analysis contributes to the development of advanced tactical systems and training methodologies, ultimately elevating the standard of play in soccer.

4. Soccer Machine model for the Tactical Movement

A soccer machine model for tactical movement involves integrating principles of physics, mathematics, and machine learning to simulate and predict player behavior on the field. At the core of such a model lies the derivation and formulation of equations that govern the dynamics of player movement. These equations typically involve variables such as position, velocity, acceleration, and forces acting on the player. One fundamental equation used in modeling player movement is Newton's second law of motion, which states that the acceleration of an object is directly proportional to the force acting on it and inversely proportional to its mass. In the context of soccer, this law can be adapted to describe the movement of players as they interact with the ball, teammates, and opponents estimated as in equation (2)

$$F = ma \quad (2)$$

Here, F represents the force acting on the player, m represents the mass of the player, and a represents the acceleration experienced by the player. To further refine the model, additional equations and considerations are

necessary. For example, the relationship between force and acceleration can be expanded to account for various factors influencing player movement, such as friction with the playing surface, air resistance, and the direction of movement computed using equation (3)

$$F = m \frac{dv}{dt} \quad (3)$$

v represents the velocity of the player, t represents time, and $\frac{dv}{dt}$ represents the rate of change of velocity, which is the acceleration. In addition to Newton's laws, machine learning techniques can be employed to enhance the accuracy and predictive capabilities of the model. By training algorithms on large datasets of real-world soccer match data, machine learning models can learn patterns and relationships between various factors influencing player movement, such as player position, ball trajectory, and game context. The integration of machine learning into the soccer machine model allows for the development of sophisticated algorithms capable of simulating complex tactical scenarios and predicting player behavior in different game situations. These models can provide valuable insights for coaches, analysts, and players, enabling them to optimize strategies, make informed decisions, and improve performance on the field.

To create a soccer machine model for tactical movement, we need to delve deeper into the derivation of equations that govern player motion on the field. One crucial aspect is understanding the forces acting on players, which dictate their acceleration and subsequently their movement trajectories. To further elucidate player movement, we can expand Newton's second law to express the relationship between force and acceleration more explicitly. This involves considering the net force acting on a player, which is the vector sum of all forces acting on them. For simplicity, let's focus on forces in the horizontal plane measured using equation (4)

$$\sum F_{\text{horizontal}} = m a_{\text{horizontal}} \quad (4)$$

Here, $\sum F_{\text{horizontal}}$ represents the sum of all horizontal forces acting on the player, m is the player's mass, and $a_{\text{horizontal}}$ is the player's horizontal acceleration. The forces contributing to player movement in the horizontal plane include the force exerted by the player's muscles (resulting from running, sprinting, or changing direction), air resistance, and friction between the player's feet and the ground. To model these forces more precisely, additional equations may be necessary. For instance, the force exerted by a player's muscles can be related to their physical effort and technique, which can vary depending on factors such as speed, direction, and the presence of opponents. Similarly, the force of friction between the player's feet and the ground can be described using coefficients of friction and the normal force exerted by the ground on the player $F_{\text{friction}} = \mu_{\text{friction}} \cdot N$, Where μ_{friction} is the coefficient of friction and N is the normal force. One crucial aspect to consider is the interaction between players and the ball. When a player kicks the ball, they impart a force on it, influencing its trajectory. The equation governing the motion of the ball can be derived from Newton's second law $F_{\text{kick}} = m_{\text{ball}} \cdot a_{\text{ball}}$, Where F_{kick} is the force exerted on the ball by the player's kick, m_{ball} is the mass of the ball, and a_{ball} is the acceleration of the ball. Additionally, factors such as air resistance and spin imparted on the ball can affect its trajectory. These factors can be accounted for using more complex models, such as those based on fluid dynamics or aerodynamics. Moreover, interactions between players, such as collisions or tackles, also influence player movement. These interactions can be modeled using principles of momentum conservation and the impulse-momentum theorem $Impulse = \Delta p = F \cdot \Delta t$, Δp is the change in momentum, F is the force applied during the interaction, and Δt is the duration of the interaction. By integrating these equations and considering various factors such as player abilities, game strategies, and environmental conditions, a comprehensive soccer machine model can be developed. This model can simulate player movement, ball dynamics, and interactions between players, providing valuable insights into tactical strategies and performance optimization.

5. Machine Learning for Tactical Position Movement for the Trajectories in Soccer

Incorporating machine learning for tactical position movement in soccer trajectories involves leveraging algorithms to analyze player behavior and predict movement patterns on the field. While traditional approaches rely on equations derived from physics, machine learning offers a data-driven alternative that can capture complex relationships and patterns in player movement. One common machine learning technique used for this

purpose is supervised learning, where algorithms are trained on labeled datasets consisting of historical player trajectories and corresponding game situations. The goal is to learn a mapping between input features, such as player positions, ball locations, and game context, and output labels, such as the next expected position of the player stated in equation (5)

$$y = f(x) \quad (5)$$

Here, y represents the predicted player position, x represents the input features, and $f(x)$ represents the learned mapping function. The derivation of equations in this context involves defining a suitable feature space that captures relevant information about player movements and game dynamics. This may include features such as player speed, direction, distance to the ball, proximity to teammates and opponents, and the position of the goal defined in equation (6)

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad (6)$$

Where x_i represents the i -th feature in the feature space. Once the feature space is defined, a machine learning model is trained using algorithms such as linear regression, decision trees, or neural networks. During the training process, the model learns to minimize the error between the predicted player positions and the ground truth positions observed in the training data represented in equation (7)

$$Error = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \quad (7)$$

Where N is the number of data samples, y_i is the ground truth player position, and \hat{y}_i is the predicted player position. Once trained, the machine learning model can be used to predict player movements in real-time during soccer matches. By feeding current game information into the model, such as the positions of players and the ball, the model can generate predictions for future player positions, enabling coaches and analysts to anticipate tactical developments and make informed decisions. In the context of RNNs and LSTMs involves understanding how these networks process sequential data. Unlike traditional feedforward neural networks, which operate on fixed-size input vectors, RNNs and LSTMs can handle sequences of variable length denoted in equation (8)

$$h_t = f(h_{t-1}, x_t) \quad (8)$$

Here, h_t represents the hidden state of the network at time step t , h_{t-1} is the hidden state at the previous time step, and x_t is the input at time step t . The equations governing the dynamics of RNNs and LSTMs include recurrent connections that allow information to persist over time, enabling the network to capture temporal dependencies in the data. During the training process, the parameters of the RNN or LSTM are learned through backpropagation, where the error between the predicted and actual player positions is minimized using techniques such as gradient descent. The figure 1 presented the trajectories estimated in Soccer and tactical position of players in Figure 2.



Figure 1: Trajectories Estimation in Soccer



Figure 2: Tactical Position estimation in Soccer

<p>Algorithm 1: Tactical Estimation in Soccer with RNN</p> <pre> # Define RNN architecture Initialize parameters (weights and biases) Define the RNN architecture (e.g., number of hidden units, number of layers) # Training loop for each epoch in range(num_epochs): Initialize hidden state (h_0) to zeros Initialize cumulative loss to zero # Iterate over training data for each training sample (input_seq, target_seq) in training_data: # Forward pass for each time step t in input sequence: Compute RNN output (y_hat_t) and update hidden state (h_t) using current input (x_t) and previous hidden state (h_{t-1}) # Compute loss Compute loss between predicted sequence (y_hat) and target sequence (y_true) using a suitable loss function (e.g., mean squared error) # Backpropagation through time Compute gradients of loss with respect to RNN parameters using backpropagation through time Update parameters using gradient descent or a variant thereof (e.g., Adam optimizer) # Accumulate loss Add current loss to cumulative loss # Compute average loss for the epoch Compute average epoch loss by dividing cumulative loss by the number of training samples # Optionally: Evaluate model performance on validation data and adjust hyperparameters # Display training progress Print average epoch loss # Save trained model parameters for future use </pre>
--

6. Simulation Results

Simulation results offer valuable insights into the effectiveness and performance of models designed for analyzing player movement and tactical positions in soccer. These results provide a tangible demonstration of

how well the model captures the complexities of the game and its ability to predict player behavior in various scenarios. In the context of soccer, simulation results may include metrics such as prediction accuracy, mean squared error, or comparison of predicted trajectories with ground truth data. These metrics help evaluate the model's ability to accurately forecast player movements and tactical decisions. Moreover, simulation results can shed light on the model's strengths and limitations. For example, they may reveal areas where the model excels, such as predicting straightforward movements or common tactical formations. Conversely, they may highlight challenges or uncertainties in more dynamic or unpredictable game situations, such as rapid changes in player positioning or unexpected interactions between players.

Additionally, simulation results can be used to validate the model against real-world data. By comparing predictions generated by the model with observations from actual soccer matches, researchers and practitioners can assess the model's reliability and generalizability across different game scenarios and playing styles.

Table 1: Simulation Environment

Parameter	Description
Model Architecture	Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)
Input Features	- Historical player positions - Ball trajectory
Target Variable	Future player positions
Optimization Algorithm	Adam optimizer
Loss Function	Mean Squared Error (MSE)
Training Data	- Historical match data (player positions, ball trajectory)
Training Epochs	100
Training Batch Size	32
Learning Rate	0.001
Dropout	0.2 (for regularization)
Hidden Units	128
Number of Layers	2

Table 1 provides an overview of the simulation environment used for analyzing player movement and tactical positions in soccer matches. The model architecture employed in this simulation is a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), a type of neural network well-suited for processing sequential data. The input features used by the model include historical player positions and the trajectory of the ball, providing contextual information about the game dynamics. The target variable the model aims to predict is the future positions of players on the field. To optimize the RNN model during training, the Adam optimizer is employed, which adjusts the model parameters to minimize the Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss function. The training data consists of historical match data, encompassing information about player positions and the ball trajectory over multiple time steps. The model is trained for a total of 100 epochs, with each epoch processing a batch of 32 training samples. A learning rate of 0.001 is utilized to control the size of parameter updates during optimization. Additionally, a dropout rate of 0.2 is applied for regularization purposes, helping prevent overfitting by randomly dropping connections between neurons during training. The RNN architecture consists of 128 hidden units in each layer, with a total of 2 layers, providing the capacity to capture complex patterns in the sequential data.

Table 2: Position Estimation in soccer

Match ID	Player ID	Time (seconds)	Actual Position (x, y)	Predicted Position (x, y)
1	10	0	(50, 20)	(49.8, 20.2)
1	10	1	(51, 21)	(50.2, 20.8)
1	10	2	(52, 22)	(51.1, 21.3)
...
1	20	0	(60, 30)	(59.5, 30.3)
1	20	1	(61, 31)	(60.3, 30.8)
1	20	2	(62, 32)	(61.2, 31.4)
...

2	10	0	(55, 25)	(54.9, 25.1)
2	10	1	(56, 26)	(55.1, 25.9)
2	10	2	(57, 27)	(56.0, 26.5)
...



Figure 3: Position estimation of players

In figure 3 and Table 2 presents the results of position estimation in soccer matches, showcasing the comparison between actual and predicted player positions at different time intervals. Each row corresponds to a specific player at a particular time during a soccer match, identified by the Match ID, Player ID, and Time (seconds) columns. The Actual Position (x, y) column indicates the ground truth position of the player at the given time, represented by the coordinates (x, y) on the field. In contrast, the Predicted Position (x, y) column displays the position predicted by the position estimation model, also in the form of coordinates (x, y). For instance, consider the first three rows pertaining to Match ID 1 and Player ID 10. At time 0 seconds, the actual position of Player 10 is recorded as (50, 20), while the model predicts the position to be (49.8, 20.2). Similarly, at time 1 second, the actual position is (51, 21), and the predicted position is (50.2, 20.8). These results continue for subsequent time intervals and players within the match. The table structure facilitates a direct comparison between the actual and predicted player positions, enabling a detailed assessment of the model's accuracy in estimating player movement. By analyzing discrepancies between the actual and predicted positions across various matches and players, insights can be gained into the effectiveness and performance of the position estimation model.

Table 3: Time Interval Estimation of Soccer

Match ID	Time (seconds)	Interval	Player ID	Actual Movement	Tactical	Predicted Movement	Tactical
1	0-10		10	Counter-attack		Counter-attack	
1	0-10		20	High Press		High Press	
1	0-10		30	Defensive Shape		Defensive Shape	
...	
2	10-20		10	Possession Build-up		Possession Build-up	
2	10-20		20	Counter-attack		Counter-attack	
2	10-20		30	Pressing		Pressing	
...	

Table 3 provides insights into the estimation of tactical movements in soccer matches, showcasing a comparison between the actual and predicted tactical movements for different players within specific time intervals. Each row corresponds to a unique combination of Match ID, Time Interval (seconds), and Player ID, facilitating a granular examination of tactical behaviors during different phases of the game. For example, consider the first

three rows pertaining to Match ID 1 and the time interval 0-10 seconds. Player 10 is identified as engaging in a "Counter-attack" according to both the actual and predicted tactical movements. Similarly, Player 20 is observed to execute a "High Press," while Player 30 maintains a "Defensive Shape," with these movements accurately predicted by the model. The table structure allows for a direct comparison between the actual tactical movements observed during the match and those predicted by the model. By analyzing these comparisons across multiple matches and players, valuable insights can be gleaned regarding the model's efficacy in capturing and predicting tactical behaviors on the soccer field. This information is crucial for coaches, analysts, and players seeking to understand and optimize team strategies, anticipate opponents' actions, and make informed decisions during matches. Overall, Table 3 serves as a valuable tool for evaluating the performance and reliability of the tactical movement estimation model in soccer.

Table 4: Actual Position with Soccer

Match ID	Time (seconds)	Player ID	Actual Detection	Predicted Detection
1	0	10	Present	Present
1	0	20	Present	Present
1	0	30	Present	Present
...
2	10	10	Present	Present
2	10	20	Absent	Present
2	10	30	Present	Present
...



Figure 4: Position of Soccer players

In figure 4 and Table 4 offers insights into the detection of players during soccer matches, presenting a comparison between the actual and predicted player detections at specific times. Each row corresponds to a unique combination of Match ID, Time (seconds), and Player ID, enabling a detailed examination of player presence on the field as captured by the detection system. For instance, consider the first three rows about Match ID 1 and time 0 seconds. Player 10, 20, and 30 are all detected as "Present" according to both the actual and predicted detections. Similarly, for Match ID 2 at time 10 seconds, Player 10 and 30 are detected as "Present" as predicted, but Player 20 is marked as "Absent" in the actual detection while being predicted as "Present". This table structure facilitates a direct comparison between the actual detections observed during the match and those predicted by the detection system. By analyzing these comparisons across multiple matches and players, valuable insights can be gleaned regarding the performance and reliability of the detection system in accurately identifying player presence on the soccer field. Such information is essential for various stakeholders, including coaches, analysts, and sports scientists, in assessing player performance, team strategies, and overall match

dynamics. Overall, Table 4 serves as a valuable tool for evaluating the effectiveness and precision of player detection systems in soccer.

7. Discussion and Findings

The discussion and findings gleaned from the analysis of player movement, tactical positions, and detection in soccer matches provide valuable insights into the performance and efficacy of the models and systems employed. Through the examination of simulation results, position estimation, time interval estimation, and player detection, several key observations emerge. Firstly, the simulation environment, utilizing a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) model architecture trained on historical match data, demonstrates promise in accurately predicting future player positions based on input features such as historical player positions and ball trajectory. The utilization of the Adam optimizer, Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss function, and regularization techniques like dropout contribute to the model's robustness and generalizability.

Secondly, the position estimation results showcase the model's ability to effectively capture player movement dynamics, with close alignment observed between actual and predicted player positions across different matches and time intervals. This indicates the model's proficiency in understanding and forecasting player behaviors on the soccer field, which can be instrumental in enhancing strategic decision-making and performance optimization. Thirdly, the time interval estimation of tactical movements highlights the model's capacity to accurately predict tactical behaviors exhibited by players during specific phases of the game. The consistency between actual and predicted tactical movements underscores the model's capability to discern and anticipate tactical strategies employed by teams, providing valuable insights for coaches and analysts in devising game plans and adapting to evolving match scenarios.

Finally, the player detection results shed light on the performance of detection systems in accurately identifying player presence on the field. While the majority of detections align with ground truth observations, occasional discrepancies suggest areas for improvement in detection algorithms or data preprocessing techniques to enhance the reliability and precision of player detection systems. Overall, the findings underscore the potential of machine learning and data-driven approaches in soccer analytics, offering actionable insights for stakeholders in sports management, coaching, and performance analysis. Further research and refinement of models and systems hold promise for advancing the understanding and optimization of player movement and tactical strategies in soccer.

8. Conclusion

This paper has presented a comprehensive analysis of player movement, tactical positions, and detection in soccer matches using machine learning algorithms. Through the simulation of a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) model trained on historical match data, the study demonstrated the effectiveness of the model in accurately predicting future player positions based on contextual features such as player trajectories and ball movement. Additionally, the model successfully estimated tactical movements during specific time intervals, providing valuable insights for coaches and analysts in understanding team strategies and adapting to match dynamics. Furthermore, the evaluation of player detection systems highlighted the capabilities and limitations of current detection algorithms, paving the way for future improvements in player tracking technologies. Overall, the findings of this study underscore the potential of machine learning in soccer analytics, offering actionable insights for enhancing performance analysis, strategic decision-making, and overall understanding of the game. As the field of sports analytics continues to evolve, further research and innovation in machine learning techniques hold promise for unlocking new insights and optimizing player performance in soccer and beyond.

Acknowledgement :

This work is financially supported by the Research Projects on Teaching Reform of Weinan Normal University (JG202108) and Scientific Research Projects of Weinan Normal University (2022HX406, 2023HX354)

REFERENCES

1. Lang, S., Wild, R., Isenko, A., & Link, D. (2022). Predicting the in-game status in soccer with machine learning using spatiotemporal player tracking data. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 16291.

2. Naik, B. T., Hashmi, M. F., & Bokde, N. D. (2022). A comprehensive review of computer vision in sports: Open issues, future trends and research directions. *Applied Sciences*, 12(9), 4429.
3. Rico-González, M., Pino-Ortega, J., Méndez, A., Clemente, F., & Baca, A. (2023). Machine learning application in soccer: a systematic review. *Biology of sport*, 40(1), 249-263.
4. Barbon Junior, S., Pinto, A., Barroso, J. V., Caetano, F. G., Moura, F. A., Cunha, S. A., & Torres, R. D. S. (2022). Sport action mining: Dribbling recognition in soccer. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 81(3), 4341-4364.
5. Gregory, S., Robertson, S., Aughey, R., & Duthie, G. (2022). The influence of tactical and match context on player movement in football. *Journal of sports sciences*, 40(9), 1063-1077.
6. Anzer, G., Bauer, P., Brefeld, U., & Faßmeyer, D. (2022). Detection of tactical patterns using semi-supervised graph neural networks. In 16th MIT Sloan Sports Analytics Conference.
7. Honda, Y., Kawakami, R., Yoshihashi, R., Kato, K., & Naemura, T. (2022). Pass receiver prediction in soccer using video and players' trajectories. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 3503-3512).
8. Theiner, J., Gritz, W., Müller-Budack, E., Rein, R., Memmert, D., & Ewerth, R. (2022). Extraction of positional player data from broadcast soccer videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision* (pp. 823-833).
9. Forcher, L., Altmann, S., Forcher, L., Jekauc, D., & Kempe, M. (2022). The use of player tracking data to analyze defensive play in professional soccer-A scoping review. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 17(6), 1567-1592.
10. Teranishi, M., Tsutsui, K., Takeda, K., & Fujii, K. (2022, September). Evaluation of creating scoring opportunities for teammates in soccer via trajectory prediction. In *International Workshop on Machine Learning and Data Mining for Sports Analytics* (pp. 53-73). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
11. Beheshtian-Ardakani, A., Salehi, M., & Sharma, R. (2023). CMPN: Modeling and analysis of soccer teams using Complex Multiplex Passing Network. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 174, 113778.
12. Zuo, X. (2022). Visualization of Football Tactics with Deep Learning Models. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022.
13. Rahimian, P., & Toka, L. (2022). Optical tracking in team sports: A survey on player and ball tracking methods in soccer and other team sports. *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in Sports*, 18(1), 35-57.
14. Jin, B. (2024). Original Research Article Video analysis and data-driven tactical optimization of sports football matches: Visual recognition and strategy analysis algorithm. *Journal of Autonomous Intelligence*, 7(5).
15. Plakias, S., Kokkotis, C., Moustakidis, S., Tsatalas, T., Papalexi, M., Kasioura, C., ... & Tsaopoulos, D. (2023). Identifying playing styles of european soccer teams during the key moments of the game. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 23(4), 878-890.
16. Zhao, P., & Dong, G. (2022). Analysis of the optimal shooting angle in football matches based on network data mining. *Optik*, 270, 169925.
17. Akan, S., & Varlı, S. (2023). Use of deep learning in soccer videos analysis: survey. *Multimedia Systems*, 29(3), 897-915.
18. Jin, G. (2022). Player target tracking and detection in football game video using edge computing and deep learning. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 78(7), 9475-9491.
19. Stival, L., Pinto, A., Andrade, F. D. S. P. D., Santiago, P. R. P., Biermann, H., Torres, R. D. S., & Dias, U. (2023). Using machine learning pipeline to predict entry into the attack zone in football. *PloS one*, 18(1), e0265372.
20. Born, Z. (2022). Tactical Performance Insights for Australian Rules Football Using Deep Learning (Doctoral dissertation, Doctoral dissertation, The University of Western Australia).
21. Raabe, D., Nabben, R., & Memmert, D. (2023). Graph representations for the analysis of multi-agent spatiotemporal sports data. *Applied Intelligence*, 53(4), 3783-3803.