Method for Evaluating the Effectiveness of Physical Education Classes Utilizing offline and Online Mobile Edge Computing

Abstract: Convergence is occurring between the two fast-evolving domains of computing at the edge and artificial intelligence. The synergy between these two technologies seems like it may lead to even better results. In physical education (PE), neither students nor instructors are tied to a single place, and neither the curriculum nor the classes repeat themselves. That's why it's hard for conventional colleges to evaluate their PE courses accurately. This article uses an optimization model created for edge computing to improve the conventional way of assessing the efficacy of PE programs utilized by most schools. The goal of physical education programs in traditional schools is to improve students' overall health and well-being. Consequently, the ratio of final exam scores is given less weight in this teacher evaluation model than the proportion of students who fulfill the requirements for a physical fitness assessment. The study aimed to develop measures for evaluating the efficacy of kinesiology programs in two-year institutions. This approach enables continuous self-assessment of learning and provides immediate responses to teacher effectiveness. One method that might be utilized to fine-tune the setup is edge computing. Based on the findings of the experiments conducted, it seems that the PES designed for this research has the potential to gather student feedback and enhance the scholarly character of PE teaching objectives. The PES for use in inclusive universities presented in this study is a prime example of this. The edge-computation optimization strategy created for this architecture has the ability to decrease the network's transference amount of the total system by 20 percent while simultaneously improving efficiency by 15%.
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1. Introduction:

Against the context of the modern information era, improvements in information technology have had a significant effect on the fast development of all sectors of life throughout the globe. The pace of technological innovation and adoption has increased dramatically according to (Bao and Yu, 2021)[1]. Every day, information is converted into tangible riches in a wide range of ways and at varied intensities. The quality of university programs is at risk if educational institutions lack the capacity to maintain up with the exponential growth of new technologies and the worldwide proliferation of new sources of knowledge. Teachers at all levels need to proactively look for shifts in society's demands and adjust their lessons appropriately.

It must actively adapt to the classroom setting, make the most of the resources at hand, and provide the best possible educational outcomes designed by (Gao et al., 2021)[2]. This is particularly typical in collegiate fitness courses. Only by updating the physical education (PE) monitoring and evaluation system and creating an assessment system appropriate for average universities and colleges will we see improved outcomes in PE teaching.

Because improving students' physical fitness is the primary goal of physical education classes taught at regular colleges, using the instructor's evaluation model developed for students majoring in physical education would be inappropriate. In considering this, (Li and Wang, 2023)[3]looked into a strategy for gauging the physical well-being of pupils that considers both academic and extracurricular pursuits. The quality of higher level physical education (PE) instruction was evaluated using a multi-attribute fuzzy evaluation methodology described by. This shift was motivated by a desire to enhance the quality of assessment in light of the present method's flaws and the plethora of evaluation requirements. Given the extreme alienation of this structure, Wei and Yan undertook a sociological study to identify its reasons in the context of physical education teacher evaluation in Chinese
universities and colleges. They also made recommendations for how institutions should better evaluate their physical education instructors. They also claimed that the assessment system for Chinese universities' physical education instructors was badly flawed and called for reform. According to (Guo and Yang, 2022)[4], the main issues that need to be fixed during the execution of sports educational evaluations include unclear evaluation targets, biased substances, a single assessment topic, conventional evaluation procedures, and a lack of assessment feedback. It was proposed that, in order to address the problem of establishing a system of ratings for activities instruction, attention be paid to an integrated assessment goal of “understanding ability accomplishment,” evaluation of subject matter of “emotional advancement psychology,” integration of the varied assessment participants of “the instructor-student companion,” and the practice of “timely objective positive.” He and Ye use the fuzzy K-mean clustering method to combine and classify the online sports teaching effect evaluation index parameters. This guarantees that the imparted ability, which displays nonlinear time series features, converges to the index variable values after constraint processing. The goal of conducting a rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of online sports instruction was completed. However, the reliability of algorithms and indicators is the main focus of their studies. They recommended an approach to evaluating physical education that would not differentiate between the curriculum for PE and that for other subjects.

Edge computing is often used for communication optimization processing. Recent research has turned its focus to optimizing models with the aid of edge computing. (Zhang and Zhang, 2023)[5]. Devised a novel paradigm to improve both the AP as well as MEC simultaneously.

Transferring bitrate, CPU cycle rate, energy transfer beamforming, and user time distribution are all considered. The fundamental framework of Yang et al.'s technique was modified in many ways. His goal was to improve the effectiveness of edge computing by using neural network characteristics. Liu et al. contend that high-quality virtual reality network transmission may be achieved with the use of mobile edge computing. Therefore, the author used edge computing to improve the offloading process. Shan and Zhang created an on-demand optimization deep neural network model using edge computing in response to the poor actual time performance experienced by cloud-server-based deep learning models. When compared to other methods that employ the deep learning framework, ours provides superior computational benefits. The model dynamically partitions the deep learning method across end-user gadgets and edge nodes to make the best use of the available bandwidth and latency. As an added bonus, it may be fine-tuned for maximum accuracy in calculation. In accordance with Liu and Gong's findings, conventional IoT is unable to handle large workloads, provides only isolated resources, and fails to address issues of latency, capacity, and task configuration.

As a result, we present a methodology for making group decisions on resource allocation in IoT-based edge computing. To improve task latency and high-demand performance, this method makes use of the peripheral network's processing benefits to make up for the limited personal computer abilities of each of the nodes that make up the Internet of Things. This approach may be an efficient way to deal with the dynamic and time-varying application needs of the IoT, like offloading activity selection & resource scheduling. Although they are related to mobile edge computing, they focus on deep learning computations rather than developing or optimizing an evaluation model that accounts for its specific features.

Leadership oversight and institutional requirements are the bedrock upon which efficacy tracking in physical education is built. It constantly tries to encourage an administrative value orientation rather than the value of teachers’ initiative. There is still much to be done from a position of understanding, compassion, and confidence, but teachers are not receiving enough theoretical training to get there. There is a lack of data on the effectiveness of the people-oriented parts of the PE quality evaluation system. A focus on the focus on individual's idea through the physical education approach is vital if teachers are to completely embrace educational quality control as their own obligation and tap into their hidden potential. Because of this, quality control in physical education will be widely implemented and expanded.

2. Literature Review:

(Wang and Xu, 2021)[6] Stated that many technological devices that help with this kind of interactions are collectively referred to as "knowledge transfer tools," and they help people learn by increasing their capacity to take in information, processing information into skills, and encouraging their own cognitive processes. According
to (Sodhro et al., 2019)[7], incorporating multimedia technology into classrooms is essential if education is to remain contemporary in the 21st century. Many teachers see the development of multimedia as a catalyst for change and growth in educational settings. The studies conducted support this point of view. Multimedia presentations in language lessons have been shown to pique students' attention and participation. With the proliferation of the global web and other types of immersive multimedia technological advances, graduates have a greater chance than ever before to start establishing professional networks. Students may gain from subject-matter experts' advice, criticism, and mentoring via the application of technology-mediated mentoring. The use of multimedia in the classroom may provide students with more opportunities for interaction and learning.

Educators may decide to create a "Virtual Educational Space" (or "Virtual Education Space") is designed by (Li et al., 2022)[8]. According to (Ma and Chen, 2023) [9] Several factors can significantly affect a significant effect on a student's mental health, but the most crucial ones are the student's motivation to study, interest in, and outlook on education. To better carry out the job of ideological instruction and curriculum development, schools and teachers involved in the education process need to have a knowledge of the characteristics of pupil motivation to learn, outlook, and fascination, as well as the development mode and growth law. Students' willingness to engage with and grasp unfamiliar concepts indicative of understanding passion, which may inspire students to claim responsibility for their learning and promote a productive classroom climate. Learning passion is the driving force that causes, maintains, and promotes students' learning; students' interest to learn is the inspiration that causes, maintains, and promotes learners and their actions; students' attitude toward instructional enthusiasm is the project behavior of their motivation to learn, so promoting the interest of learners in learning is the basic condition for developing correct learning attitude. It is designed by (Zhang et al., 2022)[10] All three are interconnected and influence one another. As a result, students' general disposition toward learning is heavily influenced by their degrees of learning drive and curiosity in their subject matter. The traditional approach to teaching PE places a heavy emphasis on skill acquisition within a certain sport but fails to take into account the students' individual preferences or tailor the curriculum to their needs. Thanks to ongoing developments in education informatization, there has been a shift in how knowledge is transmitted as a result of the integration of AI into the educational sphere. Artificial intelligence has the potential to aid educators in the areas of course development, content generation, and pedagogical precision, all of which are essential to providing students with a quality education. In order to provide students with sophisticated, hands-on instruction, it is feasible to provide individualized counseling sessions. This frees up teachers to focus on creating engaging lessons and pedagogical approaches rather than juggling several administrative tasks. Students in an adaptive learning environment may be guided through the development of a variety of learning activities, given access to a personalized learning space, helped to preview and consolidate their knowledge, have their understanding of previously covered material strengthened, and benefit from a more individualized approach to instruction by (Shakarami et al., 2020)[11].

Islam et al., 2019 [20] designed that technology has an effect on teaching methods and pedagogy primarily via the instruments, the media, the students, and the setting. Education and training make extensive use of artificial intelligence, particularly in the form of media and tools. As a result, in the modern age of computational intelligence, teachers need to be conversant with and proficient in the use of sophisticated educational materials and a highly knowledgeable teaching context to carry out classroom instruction that is both effective and original. (Zhen and Hu, 2022)[13], for example, created a social robot helper to aid in teaching the fundamentals of Persian gestures to hearing-impaired youngsters. There were three considerations in making this. Initially, the robot had a fully operational third-person perspective that provided help to underprivileged youth. Children were welcome to shop there. The robot's mechanics were then modified to allow it to learn and perform Persian sign language. Finally, the price tag for developing the robot was relatively low. The equipment used to teach sign language was assessed for both its efficiency and efficacy by (Wei et al., 2023)[14].

The findings by (Wei et al., 2023)[15] suggest that technology might be useful in classrooms where sign language is being taught to youngsters. Built a thermal-imaging-based teaching robot to measure human emotions and assess people's mental health in real time. This study was crucial to the development of human-robot interaction centered on social interaction and emotional connection, as it allowed robots to better perceive and respond to human emotions. Children on the autistic spectrum were helped in their education and treatment who used a therapy robot. Fifty-four ill kids were first assessed for the research. The findings demonstrate the therapy robot's
great significance for the healthcare and teaching of kids with autism spectrum conditions, especially in the development of their interpersonal and social abilities. These findings suggest that the therapeutic robot might be useful in the treatment of children with autism spectrum disorder. A humanoid robot created is both intelligent and very successful as a teaching tool. Through these types of activities, students may gain an understanding of the fundamental components and tools used in the production of humanoid robots. In turn, this may stimulate students’ interest in the material being covered. The use of instructional robots in the classroom was investigated via a survey conducted by (Cui et al., 2020)[16]. In addition to interviewing experts and surveying educators, they conducted a literature review. The findings suggest that robotic learners might provide teachers with valuable advice and feedback in several subject areas, involving but not limited to language teaching, classroom help, social skill development, and special education argue by(Chen et al., 2019)[17]Designed by (Pengju, 2022)[18] for the aid instructors in teaching a variety of sports, an AI robot that interacts with humans via voice commands is created and deployed in PE schools. This study aims to determine whether it is possible to implement a voice-interactive teaching robot in the discipline of physical education. After a voice interface system is built, an algorithm is developed to boost speech recognition accuracy stated by (Bourechak et al., 2023)[19]. Developing a hybrid approach to physical education instruction is the next step. Fitness education may benefit from a more personalized and in-depth approach if classical PE were combined with modern IT tools researched by (Feng et al., 2020)[20].

3. Methodology:

5.1 Evaluation of University Physical Education Programs:

5.1.1 Functionalities for Assessing PE Teachers:

Many various methods of instruction are used to teach PE in traditional public schools. The huge number of potential paths is seen in Figure 1.
As can be observed in Figure 2, kids who take part in regular physical education programs are more likely to grow up with a healthy appreciation for sports. College is often the last stage of formal education for many students. However, there is much more to campus life than simply academics. In this regard, it is essential to enhance the quality of physical education (PE), encourage children to include exercise in their daily life and emphasize the need of maintaining a consistent fitness regimen. Two, physical education can improve pupils’ general competence by the human body being the primary medium of exchange throughout the revolution. In order to recruit and keep a more competitive student body, university physical education programs should broaden their curriculum to include more comprehensive skill-building courses on themes like collaboration, creativity, and education. Therefore, it is crucial to evaluate instructors in physical education based on how effectively they foster students’ capacity for innovative problem-solving and cooperative learning. Participating in a variety of sports as part of a student’s physical education curriculum is a great way to keep them physically healthy and encourage them to try new things.

5.1.2 Models and Techniques for Optimizing Edge Computing:

This system is an algorithmic neural networks model conversion tool, as it performs an evaluation of the trained model’s network architecture, extracts relevant parameters, quantizes weights, and generates code. The mechanism for model conversion was uncovered in this investigation. The unique integrated model migration architecture developed for this research allows for the rapid migration of models of varying types to the intended platform while maintaining adherence to a common set of requirements. It has enabled the obstacles to the rapid development of AI and computing on the edge to be removed. Take note that the configuration of the cellular servers at the edge is illustrated in Figure three.

Discovering the possible payoffs of a particular action under a given set of conditions is the purpose of Q-Learning, which is serviced by standard Q-learning algorithms and others. At this point, it might be helpful to utilize a table to keep tabs on the relative importance of various conditions and responses. This is an example of the Formula 1 update table:
The most recent technique makes use of the as seen through Bellman Eq in Formula 2.

\[ Q(st, at) = R + r \times \max_{a} Q(st + 1, at) \] (1)

\( T_s \) tells the current state, whereas “t” tells the action(s) that brought about t_s. The resultant state is denoted by \( t+1_s \), and the subsequent action, at \( t+1_a \), is performed in the resultant state. In the end, the discount factor \( r \) represents the value added by performing this action. Formula 2 demonstrates that the discount of the instant reward plus the future reward is equal to the “Q- value” of the pair ts and ta, where is the value of the future reward and is the present value of the present reward. We can observe this by comparing the two figures.

Coordinated edge processing may be described using the instant time of the co-processor event pattern, as seen in the 3rd Formula. Since this can be done on the edge server, the CPU idle profile can be determined there.

\( \{a_1, a_2, a_1, a_2, ...\} : 0 = s_0 < \cdots < s_k - 1 < s_k = T \) (3)

\( T_k = s_k - s_{k-1} \) (4)

One potential future direction for cooperative computing technique development is the CPU process. The routes in the samples make this possible. Using this definition, the following formula can be used to determine the server's CPU idle setting:

\[ U_{id}(t) = [\sum_{k=1}^{k(t)} I_k T_k + I_{k(t)+1} (t - \sum_{k=1}^{k(t)} T_k)] \] (5)

In the case of unexpected information:

\[ c_{p-NM}^{co} = c_{p-NM}^{co}, a_v(t) = 0 \] (6)

\( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3] \) (7)

The new task state has been sent to the edge server, and the corresponding variable sequence of actions is shown in Formula 8 for the number 3.

\( 0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_k - 1 < s_k = T \) (8)

To mention a few

\( \{s_1, s_2, s_3, ...\} \) (9)

For each time step \( s_k \) in the sequence, we can use formula 9 to represent the size of the new information that has arrived at that point in time. \( L_k = 0 \) indicates state 3, whereas \( L_k = 0 \) represents both states 2 and 3. Then, please complete the following data:

\[ L = \sum_{k=1}^{k} L_k \] (10)

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{k} L_k \]

The current state of the system's CPU, memory, and other computing resources is represented by a single moment in time.

The two states may be utilized as a state sample in deep Q-learning based on the (CPU) and processing needs of the edge server. This is now possible because of deep Q-learning. That the system's state may be defined for any index is shown by Formula 11:

\[ s(t) = \{x_{11}(t), x_{12}(t), ..., x_{nm}(t)\} \] (11)

The specified area for the use of the computers is as follows:

\[ C_{co}^{io}(t) = \frac{c_{co,max}^{io}}{N_{mot}} \times C_{n}^{up} \] (12)

\[ C_{n}^{do} = \{1, 2, ..., N_{do, tot}\} \] (13)
One of them, called do tot N, represents the number of possible distributions of computer resources.

Decisions are made based on state samples that show how our local edge servers communicate with each other. Considering the time state processes \((t) = \{(t)_a\}, \text{ when } v = 2, 1, \text{ and } 3N + 3N \text{ denotes the total amount of time states.}

The range of possible responses depends on the actual value of \(v\) and includes the following: \(1(NM + 3N)\).

When \(1 > NM\),

\[
x \left\lfloor \frac{v}{M} \right\rfloor \mod (v, m) = 1 - x \left\lfloor \frac{v}{M} \right\rfloor \mod (v, m); a_v(t) = 1
\]

(14)

\[
x \left\lfloor \frac{v}{M} \right\rfloor \mod (v, m) = x \left\lfloor \frac{v}{M} \right\rfloor \mod (v, m); a_v(t) = 0
\]

(15)

Allocating shared calculating resources to the edge server is indicated by the corresponding action \(a_v(t)\), which has the form \(NM + N + 1 \text{ NM } 2N & 1 v > NM + N\). Here’s what needs to happen:

\[
C_v = N^0_{NM} + \Delta C^{co}; a_v(t) = 1
\]

(16)

\[
\Delta C^{do} = c^{co, max}_{\text{do tot}}
\]

(17)

In this context, we define co C as the amount of phases in which the (CPU) of the edge server finishes a calculation “co max” C as the max number of cycles the CPU is capable of handling in a single iteration, and “do tot” N as the total quantity of cycles is the sum of all the cores of the CPU.

Every edge server state and decision made in light of the aforementioned state limitations is reflected in the replay of the experience. A neural network may be trained using past data to determine the best course of action for \(x\).

The effectiveness of the DQN-related system was likened to that of six other organizations in the research. An edge-only solution is one that is only coped by the edge server. The materialistic approach analyzes all potential permutations of binary unburdening options and selects the one that has the most predicted profit. However, the materialistic system is very slow, especially when dealing with many users or many tasks. The Markov decision process is an important method for studying the national planetary of dynamic systems involving distinct occurrences. A policy-based algorithm called Sarsa is employed.

To calculate \(E_{nm}\), substitute the value for the weight change denoted by,

\[
E_{nm} = E^{l}_{nm} + E^{r}_{nm} + \lambda D^{clo}_{nm}
\]
Results of a comparison of the six approaches, with edge server-weighted computation delay shown in Figure 4. The input/output data size necessitates the use of one hundred independent random variables, each of which is represented by a dot. The average is calculated using weights. A small gap is found between the materialistic scheme and the DQN algorithm utilized in this education, suggesting that the DQN approach is quite close to the optimum solution. The results of this investigation also show that the DQN approach is superior to the Sarsa algorithm.

A. Analyzing the Effects of Varying Data Sizes on Performance.

B. Analyzing the Varying Rates of Learning

Convergence rate of the DQN-based algorithm fluctuates with the mini-batch size as shown in Figure 5A. Mini-batches are used to determine the number of empirical samples to use for training at each iteration. Convergence may be sped up by using mini-batches of 32, 64, or 128 rather than 16. These phenomena are seen in Figure 5A. (To put it another way, DQN’s replay memory has 16 distinct recordings from which it draws information for its training). In this analysis, we looked at how the update speed of the weight w in the DQN affected the overall
system cost (as seen in Figure 5B). When the learning rate is increased to 0.001 from the prior value of 0.0001, rapid convergence is achieved.

5.1.3 Maintain a Remarkable Level of Quality in Physical Education Courses:

There are now many problems with the physical education curriculum in most conventional schools. In physical education (1), there is no correlation between test scores and actual learning. When assessing teachers, many traditional institutions put too much weight on test results and traditional values, which may lead to a skewed picture of how effective they are in the classroom. This is particularly true for the discipline of PE. Therefore, they don't deal with the real need for a systemic improvement in student quality. (2) Context has a huge role in assessing physical competence: The qualitative feedback that PE teachers get from their peers, students, and subject matter specialists carries a lot of weight in the current system of teacher assessment. This E-I system relies on actual evaluation results, but there are a number of variables that could have an impact. The genuine impacts of effective teaching are not captured, and the study lacks impartiality and accuracy. Regular schools thus have an obligation to safeguard the integrity of their PE courses.

5.2 Common Criteria for Evaluating College-Level Physical Education:

If the outcomes of PE need to be measured, a holistic approach to evaluation that takes into account a variety of aspects might be utilized. Inputting different types of E-I data, conducting evaluations, and then disseminating the results to students, parents, instructors, and administrators are all possible steps in this systematized approach to assessing classroom education. It could be the spark that ignites change in how physical education is taught in schools. By including physical education in the evaluation process, we may raise the bar for teaching it at universities worldwide. Figure 6 demonstrates how this approach might also be useful in providing an unbiased study of the teaching conditions experienced by PE instructors to the management division in charge of instruction.

5.2.1 Suggestions for Classroom Assessments Based on a Model:

In light of the current circumstances and future goals, this paper offers research that thoroughly defines early indicators of excellent teaching assessment. These standards are grounded on the analysis presented in this article as well as the research and writings of other experts on the subject. Level one indicators fall into one of five core categories, while level two signals fall into one of 28 supplementary categories.

Teacher preparation is one of these fields, and its primary focus is on determining whether or not educators are adequately prepared to instruct their students. This is crucial in determining how seriously teachers take their responsibilities in the classroom. There is a robust relationship between instructors' familiarity with the course material, their competence with the many responsibilities associated with providing instruction, and the effectiveness of their method of instruction. Considerations for assessing a teacher's effectiveness in this setting include the depth and breadth of their pre-class analysis, their familiarity with the material, their level of preparation, the difficulty and relevance of their tasks, and the effectiveness of their lesson plan.

The primary purpose of educational organizational techniques is to gauge the efficacy of individual educators. In the real world, this is a major factor in determining the quality of a teacher: how effectively they plan their lessons, manage their classrooms,
and convey difficult ideas to their students. Assessing teachers’ professional competence by studying their ability to manage their time effectively may be an indirect way to do so. Everything from the proper resources to the appropriate methodology to a well-rehearsed presentation to challenging roadblocks to a detailed game plan to an enthusiastic class to a reasonable length of time is included. The program's ability to inspire students is also a factor in the evaluation and analysis.

The teaching attitude test primarily focuses on how diligent and committed instructors are. How punctual they are to and from class, as well as how engaged and enthusiastic they are while learning. If teachers care about their students' learning and pay attention to the responses they provide to students' inquiries, that is. Punctuality, sincerity, excitement, a focus on student feedback, and a feeling of duty are all signs of a teacher who is committed to their job.

Finding out whether children have learned the basics and if they are getting enough exercise while at school are two of the most important factors in determining the success of classroom learning. What it's like for their pleasant emotions throughout the strenuous activity to be observed. The primary indicators of this are the restoration of students' motivation to learn, the dissemination of students' awareness of the significance of the physical activity, the improvement of professionals’ fitness, the preservation of a conducive training environment, a high rate of compliance with respect to fitness, and the acquisition of students' mastery of motor skills.

4. Results and Discussions:

6.1 Indicators for an Assessment Model Development:

The 28-indicator model is very complex and results in excessive or redundant computing work. In this research, the specialists who rate the indicators divide the scoring technique into two steps in order to conduct an initial assessment of the preliminary indications. Figure 7 displays the full set of results.

A. 1st Result of Scoring.
In the second stage of the expert survey, the application for the second specialist questionnaire survey was created via a combination of examination of the findings from the initial phase of the highly skilled survey and the ideas and input of the experts. Several index items had significantly higher average and full-point frequencies in the second investigation round compared to the first. Over and above the median significance level of 4.16, the full-point rate was over 0.4. Coefficient of variance across many indicators used throughout this time period was less than 17%. These results suggest that the group of experts has reached a conclusion that has broad acceptance. Based on statistical findings and expert consultation, 19 of the original 28 index questions were selected for use in the creation of the E-I system for evaluating the quality of teaching physical education at standard institutions.

6.2 Techniques for Assessing and Weighing Models

For the same quantity of items being graded, there are n evaluation indicators. There are three possible values for each of these: 1, 3, and n. Top-to-bottom comparisons of the assessed items are represented by the relevance (important) indicators $R_j$. In this case, the assessment value is denoted by the letter $K_j$. At the conclusion of the assessment, there will be no more comparable items or evaluation criteria for the nth item, so $R_n$ will be zero. As a result, we may set the criterion for $K_j$, the evaluation value of the jth item, to 1 using Formula 19:

$$k_{j-1} = R_{j-1} \times k_j, k_n = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The standardized weight value is denoted by $w_j$, where $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The rest follows after that:

$$w_j = \frac{k_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_j}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The questionnaire responses and expert views are compared for each of the three key indications to determine a relevance quotient ($R_j$). The algorithm is then used to rank the secondary indicators in order of relevance. The detailed numerical findings are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial no</th>
<th>Indicators of the quality of teacher education.</th>
<th>$K_j$</th>
<th>$W_j$</th>
<th>$R_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 7: the Outcomes of the Indicator Evaluation Process
Table 1 A-B Layer Index Weight Calculations

| 1 | Preparation of teaching | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.47 |
| 2 | Organization of instructor | 0.77 | 0.25 | 1.7 |
| 3 | Attitude of instructor | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.92 |
| 4 | Exercise burden | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.35 |
| 5 | Effect of teaching | | | 0.34 |

Determine the significance of each E-I using the same methodology. Each E-I's weight in the supplementary index was calculated using this method. Teacher preparation, organizational framework, attitude towards teaching, workload, and effect are all second-level indicators, and the following is a description of the relative weights attributed to each of the third-level indicators that fall under these five. Tables 2–6 are provided here.

Table 2: Three indicators of teachers' preparedness and their relative importance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR.#</th>
<th>sequential assessment indicators</th>
<th>Kj</th>
<th>Rj</th>
<th>Wj</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Check this out before we get together</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>appropriate for use</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Parameterized pedagogical activities</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Workplaces Where Success Is Possible</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The relationships between the three indicators of educational institutions' organizational competency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR.#</th>
<th>sequential assessment indicators</th>
<th>Kj</th>
<th>Rj</th>
<th>Wj</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>the proper approach</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Word Wizardry</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Our target</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>potential for influencing the next generation</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: The need of teaching three tiers of attitude indicators is emphasized:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR.#</th>
<th>sequential assessment indicators</th>
<th>Kj</th>
<th>Rj</th>
<th>Wj</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
For the sake of serious instruction

Hear the students out and act on their suggestions

Responsible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR.#</th>
<th>sequential assessment indicators</th>
<th>Kj</th>
<th>Rj</th>
<th>Wj</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>powerless to partially-capable</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>acceptable length of time</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Changing the Training Dynamic</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: There are three types of weighted indicators for exercise volume:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR.#</th>
<th>sequential assessment indicators</th>
<th>Kj</th>
<th>Rj</th>
<th>Wj</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>boost the interest of your pupils</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Inspire your kids to take on a more active lifestyle</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Raise the academic community's fitness standards.</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Conformity in the realm of physical fitness</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Student motor skills</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: The relative importance of the three indicators of successful teaching:

6.3 Command:

For the most part, student feedback is used in PES to evaluate how well schools are addressing the requirements of their pupils in physical education. The main purpose of students in the system is evaluation; at the conclusion of the semester, they may participate in score enquiry activities.

What classrooms and educators need is: Teachers are required to log in so that they may act as assessors and submit questions regarding student performance. Teachers are responsible with using assessment data to refine instructional strategies and reevaluate course objectives. The procedure of inputting grades might be completed after the semester has ended.

An expert must meet the following criteria: The PES curriculum of more conventional educational institutions have made room for topic area specialists. Assessment models, including indicator weights as well as assessment indicators, are updated by system experts. A more precise evaluation model may be developed by comparing data from student assessments kept in the system's database with data supplied back by instructors.

What an Administrator Needs: The system administrator controls the whole infrastructure and is accountable for its data. The administrator also has broad discretion to filter out irrelevant data for better analysis. Use case diagram of the system is shown in Figure 8.
6.3.1 Planned and Built Environments

In contrast to the conventional method of assessment, the methodical course evaluation described in this article is carried out on an ongoing basis throughout the term. As a consequence, the system may use the evaluation findings as input to monitor and improve the quality of PE lessons. With the B/S three-tier architecture, the logic layer, the statistics layer, and the layer of page are all linked together, as described in this article.

The system's functionality is shown in Figure 9 by the functional module.
them to implement necessary corrections without delay. The modifications and enhancements added to the traditional E-I approach ensure that the evaluation findings generated as a result of this mining are more precise, objective, and reasonable. The assessment measures used today cannot be neatly organized. Since they are the ones who will be directly impacted by the experimental approach to teaching and learning, students play a crucial role in the experiment. However, student comments on teachers are frequently too subjective. Since a thorough and reasonable evaluation requires input from both the experimenters and the instructors, it is crucial that they evaluate each other.

6.3.2 Rating System for Educational Experiments and Its Real-World Implications

Logging into the online system, students evaluate and score the experiments. This paves the way for a more precise and extensive analysis of the experimental assessment. The assessment module through online generates & analyses the evaluation statistics in accordance with the tags and weights set by the AHP analysis technique. This enables the module to portray the data statistically and objectively.

Assessment results are accessible and available to all parties involved because of the availability of online feedback mechanisms for students, substitute teachers, and test administrators. Teachers may learn where they need improvement based on feedback from students after presenting their experiments, which can help them improve their methods for future experimental instruction and even help them develop new skills. Students have a solid grasp of their instructors and may inquire about their evaluations. The administrators benefit from a thorough accepting of the investigational circumstance and a solid, unbiased basis on which to build the experiment.

They make choices that are more suited to their own development, such as enrolling in experimental courses and opting for experimental substitute teachers.

Students' opinions on their instructors are divided into five distinct categories in this system: (1) their own preparations for the experiment, (2) the experimental instructors' situation, (3) the experimental link's relevance, (4) the experimental instruction book's quality, and (5) their own perspectives on the experiment's effect. When you're done with the online education assessment, the organization will automatically analyze your score using the index weights you specified in the AHP analysis. After the instructional assessment results are collected, they are analyzed in a way that is both rational and scientific. Students' assessments of the experimental instruction will enable researchers to tally the degree to which the instruction is correlated with each indicator and to identify the direct elements that influence the instruction's efficacy. This will allow for practical solutions to the issues now plaguing experimental education.

6.3.3 Systematic Testing Attempt:

You can build an edge server using an Intel CPU running at 3.4 GHz, 8 GB of RAM.

The N movable strategies are then used to carry out the M objectives. The mobile device is equipped with a 1.2 GHz quad-core ARM workstation and 1 GB of RAM. Sharing the Cost of Consumption and Processing Let's pretend for a moment that the edge server is getting data for the job for which it is responsible and that the coworker has a very big shield. The efficiency of probability computations and the liveliness used by edge member of staff serving at table are also investigated.

For every given computational load, the "computational probability" of an edge server finishing it using a combination of collaborative and local computing is the chance that this will really occur. The P2P transmission rate was calculated using the idle CPU profile of the partner and was improved using a sub-gradient approach. The purpose of this study was to demonstrate the potential benefits of a benchmarking strategy.
A. The Effects of Various Forms of Computing on Power Consumption

B. Processing Time in Terms of CPU Resource Usage

Graphical representation of the computational probability and estimated downtime of all collaborating CPUs (Figure 10A). As the edge server's workload decreases or as it sits idle for a longer amount of time, it becomes clear that the determining probability increases. It is also anticipated that coordinators would experience more frequent, but shorter, periods of CPU inactivity. The predicted idle time of the collaborative CPU may be compared to the edge server's energy consumption curve. Figure 10A presents a second interesting link, this time between the server's energy use and the amount of time the cooperating CPU remained idle. The graph clearly shows that there is an inverse proportionality between these two variables. Because reducing the transmission rate allows the edge server to use less power while sending data. If energy consumption reaches its peak, it is predicted that the cooperating CPU will be idle for a considerable amount of time. It is clear from the supplied statistics that the best approach greatly decreases energy usage in comparison to the prior methodology since it makes use of the collaborative feature of P2P communication.

The expected chance is proportional to the authority server's estimated statistics amount aimed at each anticipated data onset time. It's incredible to see the computational probability decrease linearly with the magnitude of the predicted data arriving at the edge server. Since the expected data arrival interval is shorter, the edge server's reduction rate increases as more data arrives more frequently. This is because we may expect less time to pass before fresh data becomes available. The typical power consumption of the edge server and the anticipated size of the incoming data are shown in Figure 10B. It has been seen initially that the energy needs of the edge server rise roughly linearly with the predicted growth in the amount of task data. Second, as data flows to the edge server, so does the rate of increase in energy usage. The most effective strategy yields greater energy savings than the others. This is particularly true in situations when there is a large volume of tasks arriving at the edge servers.

5. Conclusion:

The primary goal of a high-quality physical education programmer is to enhance students' health and wellness. Significant improvements in pupils' speed and strength are common after a complete cycle of teaching. Experts' contributions to the E-1 system ensure that intermediate vocational and technical schools' physical education programs adhere to a rigorous scientific framework. When the results of these two types of evaluations are combined, it becomes clear that the influence of physical education is the most important factor. It accounts for the bulk of the picture and is just as crucial as a discipline in the classroom.

Secondly, it is important to conduct a reasonable and scientific assessment of the quality of physical education (PE) projects at typical colleges with regard to the following criteria: occupational well-being; job-related pertinence; standard-level physical nature fitness; proficiency in sports skills; encouragement of interest; and enhancement of exercise public consciousness.
The research presented here is not without its flaws. A number of them are that the current pedagogical method used in schools is too complex for the assessment system's function to be genuinely applied to it. Therefore, future research should focus on enhancing our understanding of software composition for more effective system design.
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