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Abstract: - In this essay, based on the Lie group analysis method, the investigation has been carried out on the symmetry properties of the ( 2 +1 )-dimensional Pavlov equation. Its maximal symmetry group in Lie's sense and the corresponding one-dimensional optimal system of subgroups are presented. Furthermore, by using Ibragimov's method which is a generalization of Noether's theorem, the conservation laws for the intended equation are determined. Finally, utilizing the traveling wave solutions method, some exact solutions of this nonlinear partial differential equation are constructed.
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## 1 Introduction

The Lie group analysis method plays a crucial role in studying the properties of differential equations and obtaining exact solutions. Numerous scholars have successfully applied this method to investigate various types of nonlinear differential equations, yielding significant results. Introduced by Sophus Lie, a Norwegian mathematician in the early 19th century, the method was further developed by Ovsianikov. Its basic principle involves identifying continuous transformations with one or several parameters that preserve the equation's invariance. The effectiveness of the Lie point symmetry approach has been widely demonstrated in nonlinear differential equations across different fields of applied science.
In their paper [6], Nardjess Benoudina, Yi Zhang, and Chaudry Masood Khalique conducted research on the Pavlov equation, which finds applications in the examination of integrable hydrodynamic chains. The Pavlov equation is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{y y}+u_{x t}+u_{x} u_{x y}-u_{x x}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The aforementioned paper provides a comprehensive overview of the equation's history and significance. Additionally, the authors extended the Lie group method as an effective technique for solving this equation. However, the equation's symmetry groups were not fully provided, resulting in incomplete subsequent results. Although the paper [6] has been referenced in over 20 articles, including [5, 4, 3, 2], up to April 2022, the absence of the equation's full symmetry group limits the conclusions that can be drawn. Thus, in this essay, our aim is to rectify the computations and finalize the results.
Conservation laws, which state that the total amount of a specific physical quantity remains constant in an isolated physical system and does not change during its evolution, have diverse applications in various scientific fields. One of the most effective uses of conservation laws is in constructing singular solutions for partial differential equations, integro-differential equations, and their systems.
There exist several methods to obtain conservation laws, and in this paper, we employ Ibragimov's method. According to Noether's theorem, if a differential equation is an Euler Lagrange equation, its variational Lie point symmetries can be used to construct conservation laws. An Euler-Lagrange differential equation is derived from the variational principle of least action by minimizing a variational integral with a Lagrangian function as the integrand. Ibragimov introduced the concept of nonlinear self-adjointness and demonstrated that conservation laws can be obtained for differential equations that do not have Lagrangians in the classical sense [11],[12].
The structure of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 focuses on deriving the Lie point symmetries of the Pavlov equation. In Section 3, we proceed to find a one-dimensional optimal system for the equation. Section 4 is dedicated to identifying the conservation laws associated with the equation. Furthermore, Section 5 presents some exact solutions of the Pavlov equation obtained through the traveling wave solutions method. Finally, the concluding section summarizes the findings and facilitates further discussion.

[^0]
## 2 FULL SYMMETRY LIE GROUP

Among various methods, the Lie group analysis method is the most effective and yet elementary approach to constructing exact solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations. By using this method we can investigate the behavior of the Pavlov equation. Through this analysis, geometric vector fields associated with the $(2+1)$ dimensional Pavlov equation have been obtained. The Lie symmetry algebra, generated by vector fields, reveals the underlying symmetries and transformations of the equation

The general infinitesimal symmetry of Eq. (1.1) is

$$
v=\tau(t, x, y, u) \partial_{t}+\xi(t, x, y, u) \partial_{x}+\eta(t, x, y, u) \partial_{y}+\phi(t, x, y, u) \partial_{u}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{y y y y}=\xi_{y y y}=\eta_{y y}=\tau_{y}=\eta_{x}=\tau_{x}=\xi_{u}=\eta_{u}=\tau_{u}=0,  \tag{2.1}\\
& \phi_{x x}=\phi_{x u}=\phi_{y u}=\phi_{u u}=0,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \phi_{y}=-\xi_{t}, \phi_{t x}=-\phi_{y y}, \phi_{t u}=-\xi_{y y}, \phi_{x y}=\xi_{y y},  \tag{2.3}\\
& \eta_{t}=-2 \xi_{y}+\phi_{x}, \eta_{y}=2 \xi_{x}-\phi_{u}, 2 \tau_{t}=3 \eta_{y}-\phi_{u}, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By solving the equations (2.1), it follows that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau & =\alpha(t), \xi=b(t, x) y^{2}+c(t, x) y+d(t, x), \eta=e(t) y+f(t) \\
\phi & =g(t, x, u) y^{3}+h(t, x, u) y^{2}+i(t, x, u) y+j(t, x, u) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{j}$ are arbitrary functions. It results by placing the equations (2.5) in (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4):

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{x}=c_{x}=g_{x}=h_{x}=g_{u}=h_{u}=i_{u}=j_{x x}=j_{x u}=j_{u u}=0  \tag{2.6}\\
& c_{t}+2 h=j_{t x}+2 h=j_{t u}+2 b=2 a_{t}-3 e+j_{u}=b_{t}+3 g=0  \tag{2.7}\\
& d_{t}+i=e_{t}+2 b=f_{t}+2 c-j_{x}=2 d_{x}-e-j_{u}=i_{x}-2 b=i_{t x}+6 g=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by solving the equations (2.6) it follows that $b=b(t), c=c(t), e=e(t), f=f(t), g=g(t), h=h(t), i=i(t, x)$, and $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j} 1(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{j} 2(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}+\mathrm{j} 3(\mathrm{t})$, where $\mathrm{j} 1, \mathrm{j} 2, \mathrm{j} 3$ are arbitrary functions. By placing these expressions in (2.7) and (2.8) we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{t}+3 g=c_{t}+2 h=d_{t}+i=i_{x}-2 b=e_{t}+2 b=j_{1}+2 h=0, \\
& 2 d_{x}-e-j_{2}=f_{t}+2 c-j_{1}=2 a_{t}-3 e+j_{2}=j_{2}+2 b=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this system of equations leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=-2 \int\left(\int b d t\right) d t+\left(2 c_{5}-c_{2}\right) t+c_{6}, c=-2 \int h d t+c_{1}, \\
& d=-k-2 x \int b d t+c_{2} x+c_{3}, e=-2 \int b d t+c_{5}, \\
& f=2 \int\left(\int h d t\right) d t+\left(c_{4}-2 c_{1}\right) t+c_{7}, g=-\frac{b}{3},  \tag{2.10}\\
& i=2 b x+\dot{k}, j_{1}=-2 \int h d t+c_{4}, j_{2}=-2 \int b d t+2 c_{2}-c_{5},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{t})$ is an arbitrary function and $\mathrm{c} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{c} 7$ are arbitrary constants.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau=f, \eta=(a+f) y+g \\
& \xi=-f^{\prime \prime} y^{2} / 2+f x+(b-g) y+2 a x+h  \tag{2.11}\\
& \phi=f^{\prime \prime \prime} y^{3} / 6+g^{\prime \prime} y^{2} / 2-f^{\prime \prime} x y+\left(2 b-g^{\prime}\right) x-k y+(3 a+f) u=\ell
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(t), g(t), h(t), \ell(t)$ are arbitrary functions and $a, b$ are arbitrary constants.
The arguments described above can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 2.1. Lie symmetry algebra $g$ of the equation (1.1) is generated by the vector fields:

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =2 x \partial x+y \partial y+3 u \partial u, B=y \partial x+2 x \partial u, C_{f}=f \partial u \\
D_{f} & =f \partial x-f y \partial u, \quad E_{f}=-f y \partial x+f \partial y+\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{2} y^{2}-f x\right) \partial u  \tag{2.12}\\
F_{f} & =f \partial t+\left(f x-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{2} y^{2}\right) \partial x+f y \partial y+\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{6} y^{3}-f^{\prime \prime} x y+f u\right) \partial u, G=\partial x
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is an arbitrary function. The structure of this Lie algebra with non-zero Lie brackets can be introduced as follows:
$[A, B]=-B$,
$\left[A, D_{f}\right]=C_{-3 f}$,
$\left[A, D_{f}\right]=D_{-2 f}$,
$\left[A, E_{f}\right]=E_{-f}$,
$\left[B, D_{f}\right]=C_{-2 f}$,
$\left[B, E_{f}\right]=D_{-f}$,
$\left[C_{f}, F_{g}\right]=C_{f g-f g}, \quad\left[D_{f}, E_{g}\right]=C_{f g-f g}, \quad\left[D_{f}, F_{g}\right]=D_{f g-f g}$,
$\left[E_{f}, E_{g}\right]=D_{g f-f g}, \quad\left[E_{h}, F_{\ell}\right]=E_{h \ell-\ell k}, \quad\left[F_{f}, F_{g}\right]=F_{f g=f g}$

In other words, its Lie multiplication table is as follows:

| [, ] | A | B | $C_{f}$ | $D_{g}$ | $E_{h}$ | $F_{\ell}$ | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | -B | $C_{-3 f}$ | $D_{-2 g}$ | $D_{-h}$ | 0 | -2G |
| B | B | 0 | 0 | $C_{-2 g}$ | $D_{-h}$ | 0 | $-2 \partial u$ |
| $C_{a}$ | $C_{3 a}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $C_{a \ell-a \ell}$ | 0 |
| $D_{b}$ | $D_{2 b}$ | $C_{2 b}$ | 0 | 0 | $C_{\text {bh-dk }}$ | $D_{b \ell-b \ell}$ | 0 |
| $E_{C}$ | $E_{c}$ | $D_{c}$ | 0 | $C_{\text {bh-dk }}$ | $E_{h \dot{c}-\hat{c}}$ | $E_{c \hat{\ell}-c ́ l}$ | $-2 C_{c}$ |
| $F_{d}$ | 0 | 0 | $C_{f f d-f d}$ | $D_{\text {gd-gd }}$ | $E_{\text {há-h́d }}$ | $F_{d \hat{\ell}-\dot{d} \ell}$ | $-6 D_{d}$ |
| G | 2G | $2 \partial u$ | 0 | 0 | $-2 C_{h}$ | $6 D_{\ell}$ | 0 |

Where $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \ell, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ and d are arbitrary functions of $\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{g}$ is a non-commutative infinite imensional Lie algebra.

If we want all the coefficients of the generating vector fields of Lie symmetry algebra to be polynomials up to degree one, we get a 10 -dimensional solvable sub-algebra g 10 of g ; Which is generated by the following vector fields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}=\partial t, v_{2}=\partial x, v_{3}=\partial y, v_{4}=y \partial x-2 t \partial y, v_{5}=\partial u, \\
& v_{6}=t \partial u, v_{7}=t \partial x-y \partial u, v_{8}=t \partial y+x \partial u,  \tag{2.13}\\
& v_{9}=-y \partial y-2 t \partial t+u \partial u, v_{10}=x \partial x+2 y \partial y+3 t \partial t
\end{align*}
$$

Apparently, the authors of the article [6] were looking for this issue. The Lie multiplication table of g10 is given as:

|  | $v_{1}$ | $v_{2}$ | $v_{3}$ | $v_{4}$ | $v_{5}$ | $v_{6}$ | $v_{7}$ | $v_{8}$ | $v_{9}$ | $v_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-2 v_{3}$ | 0 | $v_{5}$ | $v_{2}$ | $v_{3}$ | $-2 v_{1}$ | $3 v_{1}$ |
| $v_{2}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $v_{5}$ | 0 | $v_{2}$ |
| $v_{3}$ |  |  | 0 | $v_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $-v_{5}$ | 0 | $-v_{3}$ | $2 v_{3}$ |
| $v_{4}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2 v_{6}$ | $-v_{7}$ | $v_{4}$ | $-v_{4}$ |
| $v_{5}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $v_{5}$ | 0 |
| $v_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $3 v_{6}$ | $-3 v_{6}$ |
| $v_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $2 v_{6}$ | $2 v_{7}$ | $-2 v_{7}$ |
| $v_{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $v_{8}$ | $-v_{8}$ |
| $v_{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| $v_{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

The Lie algebra considered in the paper [6] is a 9-dimensional solvable ideal g 9 of g 10 generated by the following vector fields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{3}, v_{2}, v_{1}, v_{5}, 3 v_{9}+2 v_{10}, v_{4}+2 v_{8}, v_{7},-v_{4}-v_{8}, v_{9}+v_{10} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector field $v_{6}=t \partial u$ does not belong to this sub-algebra g 9 , and is disregarded in [6].
If we are looking for Lie symmetry algebra with quadratic generating vector fields, we get the 14 dimensional Lie algebra g14 generated by following vector fields wi $, i=1,2, \ldots, 14$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t}, \partial_{x}, \partial_{y}, y \partial_{x}-2 t \partial_{y}, \partial_{u}, t \partial_{u}, t \partial_{x}-y \partial_{u}, t \partial_{y}+x \partial_{u} \\
& 2 x \partial_{x}+y \partial_{y}+3 u \partial_{u}, x \partial_{x}+y \partial_{y}+t \partial_{t}+u \partial_{u} \\
& t^{2} \partial_{u}, t^{2} \partial_{x}-2 t y \partial_{u}, 2 t y \partial_{x}-t^{2} \partial_{y}+\left(2 t x-y^{2}\right) \partial_{u} \\
& \left(2 t x-y^{2}\right) \partial_{x}+2 t y \partial_{y}+t^{2} \partial_{t}+2(t u-x y) \partial_{u} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Nil radical $r$ of this Lie algebra is generated by $w 1, w 2, \ldots, w 11$, and its semi-simple part s is generated by $w 12, w 13, w 14$. Therefore, g14 decomposed as semi-direct $\operatorname{sum} \tau \propto s$. The Lie algebra g10 is a sub-algebra of g 14 generated by w1,w2,...,w10.

If necessary, this process can be continued and Lie symmetry algebras with generators of higher degrees can be found.

## 3 ONE-DIMENSIONAL OPTIMAL SYSTEM OF SUBALGEBRAS

To solve a differential equation, we need to find invariant solutions that do not change with any transformation from the full symmetry group. However, there are infinitely many subgroups of the symmetry group for any given differential equation. To obtain a complete description of invariant solutions, we require subgroups that give essentially different solutions, known as the optimal system. To construct an optimal system of subgroups, we need to find an optimal system of subalgebras. For one-dimensional subalgebras, the construction of an optimal system involves the classification of the orbits for the adjoint representation. Determining the optimal system of the Pavlov equation is an essential step in understanding its behavior and solutions. By solving the equations derived from the Lie symmetry analysis, the optimal system of the Pavlov equation can be determined. This system provides valuable insights into the equation's dynamics and helps in identifying key variables and parameters.

If $v=a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{10} v_{10}$ and $A d_{\exp \left(t_{10} v_{10}\right)}{ }^{\circ} \ldots \ldots . A d_{\exp \left(t_{1} v_{1}\right)}(v)=b_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+b_{10} v_{10}$, for $a_{i}, b_{i}, t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1}= & e^{2 t_{9}-3 t_{10}}\left(\left(3 a_{10}-2 a_{9}\right) t_{1}+a_{1}\right), \\
b_{2}= & e^{-t_{10}}\left(\left(\left(2 a_{9}-3 a_{10}\right) t_{1}+a_{1}\right) t_{7}+\left(\left(2 a_{9}-3 a_{10}\right) t_{1}-a_{1}\right) t_{4}^{2}+\left(\left(a_{9}-2 a_{10}\right) t_{3}+\quad\left(2 a_{4}-a_{8}\right) t_{1}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.a_{3}\right) t_{4}+t_{2} a_{10}+t_{3} a_{4}+t_{1} a_{7}+a_{2}\right) \\
b_{3}= & e^{t_{9}-2 t_{10}}\left(\left(\left(2 a_{9}-3 a_{10}\right) t_{1}-a_{1}\right) t_{8}+\left(2\left(3 a_{10}-2 a_{9}\right) t_{1}+2 a_{1}\right) t_{4}+\left(2 a_{10}-a_{9}\right) t_{3}+\quad\left(a_{8}-2 a_{4}\right) t_{1}+\right. \\
& \left.a_{3}\right) \\
b_{4}= & e^{t_{9}-t_{10}}\left(\left(a_{9}-a_{10}\right) t_{4}+a_{4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{5}=e^{-t_{9}}\left(\left(\left(\left(3 a_{10}-2 a_{9}\right) t_{1}+a_{1}\right) t_{7}+\left(\left(3 a_{10}-2 a_{9}\right) t_{1}+a_{1}\right) t_{4}^{2}+\left(\left(2 a_{10}-a_{9}\right) t_{3}+\left(a_{8}-2 a_{4}\right) t_{1}+a_{3}\right) t_{4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-t_{2} a_{10}-3 a_{4}-t_{1} a_{7}-a_{2}\right) t_{8} \\
& \left.+\left(2\left(\left(3 a_{10}-2 a_{9}\right) t_{1}+a_{1}\right) t_{4}+\left(2 a_{10}-a_{9}\right) t_{3}+\left(a_{8}-2 a_{4}\right) t_{1}+a_{3}\right) t_{7}\right), \\
& b_{6}=e^{3\left(t_{10}-t_{9}\right)}\left(\left(\left(a_{10}-a_{9}\right) t_{4}-a_{4}\right) t_{8}^{2}+2\left(t_{4} a_{8}+2\left(a_{10}-a_{9}\right) t_{7}-a_{7}\right) t_{8}+2\left(\left(a_{10}-a_{9}\right) t_{4}-a_{4}+a_{8}\right) t_{7}\right. \\
& \left.+3\left(a_{9}-a_{10}\right) t_{6}-t_{4}^{2} a_{8}+2 t_{4} a_{7}+a_{6}\right), \\
& b_{7}=e^{2\left(t_{10}-t_{9}\right)}\left(\left(\left(a_{9}-a_{10}\right) t_{4}+a_{4}\right) t_{8}+2\left(a_{9}-a_{10}\right) t_{7}-t_{4} a_{8}+a_{7}\right), \\
& b_{8}=e^{t_{10}-t_{9}}\left(\left(a_{9}-a_{10}\right) t_{8}+a_{8}\right), \\
& b_{9}=a_{9}, \\
& b_{10}=a_{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

All $A d_{\exp \left(t_{i} v_{i}\right)}$ functions have no effect on $a_{9}$ and $a_{10}$ The simplification algorithm of non-zero vector field $v$ is divided into the following modes using $A d_{\exp \left(t_{i} v_{i}\right)}$ functions:

Case 1-0 If $a_{9} a_{10}\left(a_{10}-a_{9}\right)\left(2 a_{10}-a_{9}\right)\left(3 a_{10}-2 a_{9}\right) \neq 0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $v_{9}+b v_{10}$.

Case 1-1-1 If $a_{10}=0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{3}+v_{4}+$ $v_{9}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

Case 1-1-2 If $a_{9}=0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{2}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

Case 1-1-3-0 If $a_{9}=a_{10} \neq 0$ and $a_{4}\left(a_{8}-a_{4}\right) \neq 0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{4}+\delta v_{8}+v_{9}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon, \delta \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

Case 1-1-3-1-1-0 If $a_{9}=a_{10} \neq 0, a_{4}=0$ and $a_{8} \neq 0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $v_{8}+v_{9}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}$.

Case 1-1-3-1-1-1 If $a_{9}=a_{10} \neq 0, a_{4}=a_{8}=0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{7}+v_{9}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}$.

Case 1-1-3-2-0 If $a_{9}=a_{10} \neq 0$ and $a_{4}=a_{8} \neq 0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon\left(v_{4}+v_{8}\right)+\delta v_{6}+v_{9}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \epsilon\{-1,1\}$ and $\epsilon\{-1,0,1\}$.

Case 1-1-3-2-1-0 If $a_{9}=a_{10} \neq 0, a_{4}=a_{8}=0$ and $a_{8}=0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{7}+v_{9}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

Case 1-1-3-2-1-1 If $a_{9}=a_{10} \neq 0, a_{4}=a_{8}=a_{7}=0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{6}+v_{9}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

Case 1-1-4 If $a_{9}=2 a_{10} \neq 0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{3}+$ $2 v_{9}+v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

Case 1-1-5 If $a_{9}=\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) a_{10} \neq 0$, then by selecting the appropriate $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$, the vector field $v$ can be converted to $\varepsilon v_{1}+3 v_{9}+2 v_{10}$, where $\varepsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

In general, according to the above calculations, we conclude that:

Theorem 3.1. An optimal system of one-dimensional sub-algebras of $g_{10}$ is
$a v_{9}+b v_{10}, \quad \varepsilon v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{9}, \quad \varepsilon v_{4}+\delta v_{8}+v_{9}+v_{10}$,
$\varepsilon v_{2}+v_{10}, \quad \varepsilon v_{7}+v_{9}+v_{10}, \quad \delta\left(v_{4}+v_{8}\right)+\varepsilon v_{6}+v_{9}+v_{10}$,
$\varepsilon v_{3}+2 v_{9}+v_{10}, \quad \varepsilon v_{1}+3 v_{9}+2 v_{10}$,
Where,$\delta \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

## 4 CONSERVATION LAWS

In this section, we construct the conservation laws for the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, y, t, u, u_{x}, u_{x x}, \ldots\right)=u_{x y}+u_{x t}+u_{x} u_{x y}-u_{y} u_{x x} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A vector field $C=\left(C^{t}, C^{x}, C^{y}\right)$ is called a conserved vector for Eq. (4.1), if it satisfies the conservation equation

$$
D_{t}\left(C^{t}\right)+D_{x}\left(C^{x}\right)+\left.D_{y}\left(C^{y}\right)\right|_{(4.1)}=0
$$

Where $C^{t}, C^{x}$ and $C^{y}$ are functions of $t, x, y, u$, and $\ldots[21,24]$.
Equation (4.1) has a formal Lagrangian in the following form [15, 22]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\phi(t, x, y)\left(u_{y y}+u_{x t}+u_{x} u_{x y}-u_{y} u_{x x}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\phi(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is a new dependent variable. the adjoint equation for Eq (4.1) satisfies in

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}=\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial u=\lambda\left(u_{y y}+u_{x t}+u_{x} u_{x y}-u_{y} u_{x x}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\lambda$ is undetermined coefficient and $\frac{\delta}{\delta u}$ is the Euler-Lagrange operator by the following definition:

$$
\frac{\delta}{\delta u}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-D_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}-D_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y}}-D_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+D_{x}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}}+D_{y}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y y}}+D_{x} D_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x y}}+D_{x} D_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x t}}
$$

Therefore the component of the conserved vector are given by:
$C^{t}=w\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{t}}-D_{x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{t x}}\right)+D_{x}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x}}$,
$C^{x}=w\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{x}}-D_{x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{x x}}-D_{t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{t x}}-D_{y} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{x y}}\right)+D_{t}(w) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{x t}}+D_{x}(w) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{x x}}+D_{y}(w) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{y y}}$,

And
$C^{y}=w\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{y}}-D_{x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{x y}}-D_{y} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{y y}}\right)+D_{t}(w) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{y t}}+D_{x}(w) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{x y}}+D_{y}(w) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_{y y}}$,

That $\mathscr{L}$ is the formal Lagrangian (4.2) and $w$ defined by $=\eta-\xi^{t} u_{t}-\xi^{x} u_{x}-\xi^{y} u_{y}$.

Let us consider a Lie point symmetry generator $X=\partial_{t}$ with characteristic $w=-u_{t}$ gives the conserved vector $C=$ $\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{1}=u_{t} \phi_{x}-u_{t x} \phi \\
& C^{2}=\phi_{x} u_{y} u_{t}+\phi_{y} u_{t} u_{x}+\phi u_{x y} u_{t}-\phi_{t} u_{t}-\phi u_{t t}+\phi u_{t x} u_{y}-\phi u_{t y} \\
& C^{3}=2 \phi u_{t} u_{x x}+\phi_{x} u_{x} u_{t}+\phi_{y t} u_{t}-\phi u_{t x} u_{x}-u_{t y} \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

For Lie point symmetry generator $X=\partial_{x}$ with the characteristic $w=-u_{x}$ gives the conserved vector $C=$ $\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{1}=u_{x} \phi_{x}-u_{x x} \phi \\
& C^{2}=-\phi u_{x} u_{x y}-\phi_{x} u_{y} u_{x}+\phi_{y} u_{x}^{2}+\phi_{t} u_{x}-\phi u_{x t}+\phi u_{x x} u_{y}-\phi u_{x y} \\
& C^{3}=2 \phi u_{x} u_{x x}+\phi_{x} u_{x}^{2}+\phi_{y} u_{x}-\phi u_{x y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For Lie point symmetry generator $X=\partial_{y}$ with the characteristic $w=-u_{y}$ gives the conserved vector $C=$ $\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{1}=u_{y} \phi_{x}-u_{y x} \phi \\
& C^{2}=-\phi_{x} u_{y}^{2}+\phi_{y} u_{x} u_{y}-\phi_{t}-\phi u_{y t}-\phi u_{y y} \\
& C^{3}=2 \phi u_{y} u_{x x}+\phi_{x} u_{x} u_{y}-\phi u_{x y} u_{x}-\phi_{y}-\phi u_{y y}
\end{aligned}
$$

For Lie point symmetry generator $X=-y \partial x+2 t \partial y$ with the characteristic $w=-y u_{x}+2 t u_{y}$ gives the conserved vector $C=\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as:
$C^{1}=t y u_{x} \phi_{x}+2 t u_{y} \phi_{x}-y u_{x x} \phi+2 t u_{y x} \phi$,
$C^{2}=-y u_{x} u_{x y} \phi+2 t u_{y}^{2} \phi_{x}+y u_{x}^{2} \phi_{y}-2 t u_{y} u_{x} \phi_{y}-\phi_{t}-y u_{x t} \phi+2 u_{y} \phi+2 t u_{y t} \phi+y u_{x x} u_{y} \phi-u_{x}-y u_{x y} \phi_{t}$ $+2 t u_{y y}$,
$C^{3}=-4 t u_{y} u_{x x} \phi+y u_{x}^{2} \phi_{x}-2 t u_{y} u_{x x} \phi_{x x}+y u_{x} u_{x x} \phi+2 t u_{x y} u_{x} \phi-u_{x} \phi-y u_{x y} \phi+2 t u_{y y} \phi$.

For Lie point symmetry generator $X=t \partial x-y \partial u$ with the characteristic $w=-t u_{x}-y$ gives the conserved vector $C=\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as:
$C^{1}=t u_{x} \phi_{x}+y \phi_{x}-t u_{x x} \phi$,
$C^{2}=-t u_{x} u_{y} \phi_{x}-t u_{x} u_{x y} \phi+t u_{x}^{2} \phi_{y}-u_{x} \phi-t u_{x t} \phi+t u_{x x} u_{y} \phi+t u_{x y} \phi-\phi-t u_{x} \phi_{t}+y \phi_{t}$,
$C^{3}=t u_{x}^{2} \phi_{x}+t u_{x} u_{x x} \phi+t u_{x} \phi_{y}+2 y u_{x x} \phi+y u_{x} \phi_{x}+y \phi_{y}-t u_{x y} \phi-\phi$.
For Lie point symmetry generator $X=t \partial y+x \partial u$ with the characteristic $w=x-t u_{y}$ gives the conserved vector $C=$ $\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as:
$C^{1}=-x \phi_{x}+t u_{y} \phi_{x}+\phi-t u_{x y} \phi$,
$C^{2}=x \phi u_{x y}+x \phi_{x} u_{y}-x \phi_{y} u_{x}-x \phi_{t}-u_{y} \phi-t u_{y t} \phi-\phi u_{y}+t u_{x y} u_{y} \phi-t u_{y y} \phi$,
$C^{3}=2 x \phi u_{x x}-x \phi_{x} u_{x x}-x \phi_{y}+2 u_{y} u_{x x} \phi+t u_{y} u_{x} \phi_{x}+t u_{y} \phi_{y}+\phi u_{x}-t u_{y} u_{x} \phi-t u_{y y} \phi$.
For Lie point symmetry generator $X=u \partial u-y \partial y-2 t \partial \mathrm{t}$ with the characteristic $w=u+y u_{y}+2 t u_{t}$ gives the conserved vector $C=\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{1}= & -u \phi_{x}-y u_{y} \phi_{x}-2 t u_{t} \phi_{x}+u_{x} \phi+y u_{x y} \phi+2 t u_{t x} \phi \\
C^{2}= & u u_{y} \phi_{x}+u u_{x y} \phi-u u_{x}-\phi_{y}-u \phi_{t}+u_{t} \phi+y u_{t y} \phi+2 t u_{t} \phi+2 t u_{t t} \phi-u_{x} u_{y} \phi \\
& -u_{x y} u_{y} \phi-2 t u_{t} u_{y} \phi+2 u_{y} \phi+y u_{y y} \phi+2 t u_{t y} \phi \\
C^{3}= & -\phi u u_{x x}-2 y u_{y} u_{x x} \phi-\phi_{x} u_{x} u-\phi_{y} u-\phi_{x} y u_{y} u_{x}-\phi_{y} y u_{y}-4 t u_{t} u_{x x} \phi-2 t u_{t} u_{x} \phi_{x} \\
& -2 t u_{t} \phi_{y}+u_{x}^{2} \phi+y u_{x y} u_{x} \phi+2 t u_{t x} u_{x} \phi+2 u_{y} \phi+y u_{y y} \phi+2 t u_{t y} \phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

For Lie point symmetry generator $X=x \partial x+2 y \partial t+3 t \partial \mathrm{t}$ with the characteristic $w=-x u_{x}-2 y u_{y}-3 t u_{t}$ gives the conserved vector $C=\left(C^{1}, C^{2}, C^{3}\right)$ such as:
$C^{1}=-x u_{x} \phi_{x}+2 y u_{y} \phi_{x}+3 t u_{t} \phi_{x}-u_{x} \phi-x u_{x x} \phi-2 y u_{x y} \phi-3 t u_{t x} \phi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{2}= & -x u_{x} u_{y} \phi_{x}-x u_{x} u_{x y} \phi+x u_{x}^{2} \phi_{y}-x u_{x} \phi_{t}-2 y u_{y}^{2} \phi+2 y u_{y} u_{x} \phi_{x}+2 y u_{y} \phi_{t}-3 t u_{t} u_{x y} \phi \\
& -3 t u_{t} u_{y} \phi_{x}+3 t u_{t} u_{x} \phi_{y}+3 t u_{t} \phi_{t}-x u_{x t} \phi-2 y u_{y t} \phi-3 u_{t} \phi-3 t u_{t t} \phi+u_{x} u_{y} \phi+ \\
& x u_{x x} u_{y} \phi+3 t u_{t x} u_{y} \phi-x u_{x y} \phi-2 u_{y} \phi-2 y u_{y y} \phi-3 t u_{t y} \phi,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{3}=x u_{x} u_{x x} \phi+x u_{x}^{2} \phi_{x}+x u_{x} \phi_{y}+4 y u_{y} u_{x} x \phi+2 y u_{y} u_{x} \phi_{x}+2 y u_{y} \phi_{y}+6 t u_{t} u_{x x} \phi \\
& \quad+3 t u_{t} u_{x} \phi_{x}+3 t u_{t} \phi_{y}-u_{x}^{2} \phi-2 y u_{x y} u_{x} \phi-3 t u_{t x} u_{x} \phi-x u_{x y} \phi-2 u_{y} \phi-2 y u_{y y} \phi-3 t u_{t y} \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\phi=c_{1} t x y+c_{2} t x+c_{3} t y+c_{4} x y+c_{5} t+c_{6} x+c_{7} y+c_{8}$.

## 5 Traveling Wave Solutions

The tanh technique has been employed to generate accurate traveling wave solutions for the Pavlov equation. By introducing a new variable and using



Figure 1: The graph of the $u_{2}, c_{i}=1, t=1$.
the Ansatz method, exact solutions can be obtained. These new solitary wave solutions offer valuable insights into the behavior and characteristics of the Pavlov equation, providing a deeper understanding of its dynamics.
In this section, we perform one of the most important ansatz methods (the tanh-function method, [1]) to gain exact traveling wave solutions of this nonlinear system of PDEs. For this, we introduce a new variable $=\tanh \left(c_{0}+c_{1} t+\right.$ $c_{2} x+c_{3} y$ ), where $c_{i}$ are arbitrary constants. By placing this expression in the equation (2.1), we get

$$
\left(c_{2}^{2}\left(\tau^{2}-1\right)^{2}+c_{3}\left(\tau^{2}-1\right)^{2} c_{1}\right) u^{2}+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}\left(\tau^{2}-1\right) \tau+2 c_{3}\left(\tau^{2}-1\right) c_{1} \tau\right) u=0
$$

Then, using the ansatz $=A_{1,0}+A_{1,1} \tau+A_{1,2} \tau^{2}+A_{1,3} \tau^{3}$, where $A_{1, i}$ are arbitrary constants, we obtain the exact solution by using required simplifications and linear algebra:

1) $u_{1}=a_{1}$,
2) $u_{2}=c_{1} \tanh ^{3}(\zeta)+c_{5} \tanh (\zeta)+c_{6}$,
3) $u_{3}=c_{1} \tanh ^{3}(\zeta)+c_{7} \tanh ^{2}(\zeta)+c_{5} \tanh (\zeta)+c_{6}$.

Where $\zeta=-\frac{c_{2}^{2} t}{c_{3}}+c_{3} x+c_{2} y+c_{4}$. In figure 1 and figure 2 , solutions have been plotted for some constant coefficients.

## 6 CONCLUSION

In this article, we successfully presented a systematic technique for obtaining Lie point symmetries of the Pavlov equation. Additionally, a simple method was utilized to construct the optimal system of the full Lie algebras of the equation. This allowed for the classification of subgroups, enabling the construction of all inequivalent reduced ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and the derivation of explicit exact solutions.


Figure 2: The graph of the $u_{2}, c_{i}=1, t=1$.
The derived Lie point symmetries were employed to derive conservation laws for the Pavlov equation using Ibragimov's method. Furthermore, the tanh technique was utilized to generate accurate traveling wave solutions for this nonlinear partial differential equation.
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